Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	

Towards a better understanding of changes in European temperature extremes A multi-model analysis from CMIP5/CFMIP2

Julien Cattiaux, Hervé Douville, Aurélien Ribes and Fabrice Chauvin.

CNRM/Météo-France, Toulouse, France.

January 25, 2012

・ロト (四) (日) (日) (日) (日)

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	
0000	00	0000	00	0000
Motivations				

Temperature extremes?

- Extremely warm/cold days: Tmax/Tmin above/below the 90th/10th centile of a reference pdf (e.g., observations or *historical* runs).
- Highest impacts, responses not necessarily scaled on the mean.

Questions

- Uncertainties in GCMs: Large-scale circulation? Soil processes? Cloud feedbacks?
- How to separate dynamical vs. non-dynamical contributions?

Multi-model data (9 GCMs so far)

CMIP5: *historical* (1979–2008) & *rcp85* (2070–2099): 8 GCMs. **CFMIP2:** *amip* & *amipFuture*: 4 GCMs.

- * ロ * * @ * * 画 * * 画 * * の < @

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	
0000	00	0000	00	0000
Motivations				

Temperature extremes?

- Extremely warm/cold days: Tmax/Tmin above/below the 90th/10th centile of a reference pdf (e.g., observations or *historical* runs).
- Highest impacts, responses not necessarily scaled on the mean.

Questions

- Uncertainties in GCMs: Large-scale circulation? Soil processes? Cloud feedbacks?
- How to separate dynamical vs. non-dynamical contributions?

Multi-model data (9 GCMs so far)

CMIP5: *historical* (1979–2008) & *rcp85* (2070–2099): 8 GCMs. **CFMIP2:** *amip* & *amipFuture*: 4 GCMs.

Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	
0000	00	0000	00	0000
Motivations				

Temperature extremes?

- Extremely warm/cold days: Tmax/Tmin above/below the 90th/10th centile of a reference pdf (e.g., observations or *historical* runs).
- Highest impacts, responses not necessarily scaled on the mean.

Questions

- Uncertainties in GCMs: Large-scale circulation? Soil processes? Cloud feedbacks?
- How to separate dynamical vs. non-dynamical contributions?

Multi-model data (9 GCMs so far)

CMIP5: *historical* (1979–2008) & *rcp85* (2070–2099): 8 GCMs. **CFMIP2:** *amip* & *amipFuture*: 4 GCMs.



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

Introduction Methodology Results Conclusions ++ 0000 000 000 000 000 Future changes in wintertime cold days



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)



Weather regimes

- Clustering of daily Z500 anomalies. (e.g., Michelangeli et al., 1995)
- Temperatures well discriminated among the 4 classical regimes.
- $\overline{\mathrm{PQ10}} = \sum_{k} P(\Omega_{k}) \cdot P(T < T_{10} \mid \Omega_{k}).$



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)



Weather regimes

- Clustering of daily Z500 anomalies. (e.g., Michelangeli et al., 1995)
- Temperatures well discriminated among the 4 classical regimes.
- $\overline{\mathrm{PQ10}} = \sum_{k} P(\Omega_{k}) \cdot P(T < T_{10} \mid \Omega_{k}).$



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

 Introduction
 Methodology
 Results
 Conclusions
 ++

 000
 00
 000
 00
 0000

Future changes in mean Z500

Z500, DJFM, rcp85-historical



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

Introduction Methodology Results Conclusions ++ 00● 00 0000 00 0000

Future changes in mean Z500

Z500, DJFM, amipFuture-amip



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

 Introduction
 Methodology
 Results
 Conclusions
 ++

 0000
 •0
 0000
 00
 0000

Evaluating dynamical contributions

Contribution of changes in regimes frequencies:

$$\overline{X} = \sum_{k} f_{k} x_{k} \Rightarrow \Delta^{F-P} \overline{X} = \overline{X}^{F} - \overline{X}^{P} = \sum_{k} f_{k}^{F} x_{k}^{F} - \sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} x_{k}^{P}$$
$$= \underbrace{\sum_{k} \Delta f_{k} \cdot x_{k}^{P}}_{BC} + \underbrace{\sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} \cdot \Delta x_{k}}_{WC} + \underbrace{\sum_{k} \Delta f_{k} \cdot \Delta x_{k}}_{RES}$$

Contribution of changes in regimes structures:

 $\forall k \ x_k = \Phi(d_k) \ \Rightarrow \ \Delta x_k = \Phi^F(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^P) = [\Phi^F(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^F)] + [\Phi^P(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^P)]$



Cattiaux et al. SI Clim. Dyn, submitted.

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

 Introduction
 Methodology
 Results
 Conclusions
 ++

 0000
 00
 0000
 00
 0000

Evaluating dynamical contributions

Contribution of changes in regimes frequencies:

$$\overline{X} = \sum_{k} f_{k} x_{k} \Rightarrow \Delta^{F-P} \overline{X} = \overline{X}^{F} - \overline{X}^{P} = \sum_{k} f_{k}^{F} x_{k}^{F} - \sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} x_{k}^{P}$$
$$= \underbrace{\sum_{k} \Delta f_{k} \cdot x_{k}^{P}}_{BC} + \underbrace{\sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} \cdot \Delta x_{k}}_{WC} + \underbrace{\sum_{k} \Delta f_{k} \cdot \Delta x_{k}}_{RES}$$

Contribution of changes in regimes structures:

 $\forall k \ x_k = \Phi(d_k) \ \Rightarrow \ \Delta x_k = \Phi^F(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^P) = [\Phi^F(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^F)] + [\Phi^P(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^P)]$



Cattiaux et al. SI Clim. Dyn, submitted.

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

 Introduction
 Methodology
 Results
 Conclusions
 ++

 0000
 00
 0000
 00
 0000

Evaluating dynamical contributions

Contribution of changes in regimes frequencies:

$$\overline{X} = \sum_{k} f_{k} x_{k} \Rightarrow \Delta^{F-P} \overline{X} = \overline{X}^{F} - \overline{X}^{P} = \sum_{k} f_{k}^{F} x_{k}^{F} - \sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} x_{k}^{P}$$
$$= \underbrace{\sum_{k} \Delta f_{k} \cdot x_{k}^{P}}_{BC} + \underbrace{\sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} \cdot \Delta x_{k}}_{WC} + \underbrace{\sum_{k} \Delta f_{k} \cdot \Delta x_{k}}_{RES}$$

Contribution of changes in regimes structures:

 $\forall k \ x_k = \Phi(d_k) \ \Rightarrow \ \Delta x_k = \Phi^F(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^P) = [\Phi^F(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^F)] + [\Phi^P(d_k^F) - \Phi^P(d_k^P)]$



Cattiaux et al., SI Clim. Dyn., submitted.

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

I.e. the mean value of X that would produce present-day physics from future circulations.

One way to do it: consider

 $\Phi^{P}(d_{k}^{F}) \equiv \Phi^{P}(\widetilde{d_{k}^{P}})$,

where $\widetilde{d_k^P}$ are the flow-analogs of d_k^F sampled among the present-day circulations d_k^P .

See, e.g., Lorenz (1969) for flow-analogs.



Cattiaux et al., SI Clim. Dyn., submitted.

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

I.e. the mean value of X that would produce present-day physics from future circulations.

One way to do it: consider

 $\Phi^{P}(d_{k}^{F}) \equiv \Phi^{P}(\widetilde{d_{k}^{P}})$,

where $\widetilde{d_k^P}$ are the flow-analogs of d_k^F sampled among the present-day circulations d_k^P .

See, e.g., Lorenz (1969) for flow-analogs.



Cattiaux et al., SI Clim. Dyn., submitted.

I.e. the mean value of X that would produce present-day physics from future circulations.

One way to do it: consider

 $\Phi^{P}(d_{k}^{F}) \equiv \Phi^{P}(\widetilde{d_{k}^{P}})$,

where $\widetilde{d_k^P}$ are the flow-analogs of d_k^F sampled among the present-day circulations d_k^P .

See, e.g., Lorenz (1969) for flow-analogs.



Cattiaux et al., SI Clim. Dyn., submitted.



Changes in regimes frequencies

rcp85 vs. historical



 Increase of NAO-, while all previous CMIP concluded to increase of NAO+... (Boé, 2007; Cattiaux, 2010; Najac, 2008; Stephenson et al., 2006; van Ulden and van Oldenborgh, 2006, among many others)

• Opposite behaviour for CNRM in *amip*-type runs. Run forced by SST derived from *rcp85*? *amipFuture* in other GCMs?

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)



Changes in regimes frequencies

amipFuture vs. amip



- Increase of NAO-, while all previous CMIP concluded to increase of NAO+... (Boé, 2007; Cattiaux, 2010; Najac, 2008; Stephenson et al., 2006; van Ulden and van Oldenborgh, 2006, among many others)
- Opposite behaviour for CNRM in *amip*-type runs. Run forced by SST derived from *rcp85*? *amipFuture* in other GCMs?

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

< ロト (母) (ヨ) (1)





- Only slight changes...
- ...but a general increase of westerlies (or decrease in easterlies) for all regimes.

European temperature extremes in CMIP5/CFMIP2

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



- Only slight changes. . .
- ... but a general increase of westerlies (or decrease in easterlies) for all regimes.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

 Introduction
 Methodology
 Results
 Conclusions
 ++

 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000

 Contributions to temperature extremes
 Mean changes

Ensemble mean of each term in: $\Delta^{F-P\overline{X}} = \sum_{k} \Delta f_{k} \cdot \Phi^{P}(d_{k}^{P}) + \sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} \cdot \Phi^{P}(\Delta d_{k}) + \sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} \cdot \Delta \Phi(d_{k}^{F}) + RES$



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

 Introduction
 Methodology
 Results
 Conclusions
 ++

 0000
 000
 000
 0000
 0000

 Contributions to temperature extremes
 Uncertainties

Ensemble standard deviation of each term in: $\Delta^{F-P}\overline{X} = \sum_{k} \Delta f_{k} \cdot \Phi^{P}(d_{k}^{P}) + \sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} \cdot \Phi^{P}(\Delta d_{k}) + \sum_{k} f_{k}^{P} \cdot \Delta \Phi(d_{k}^{F}) + RES$



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	
0000	00	0000	00	0000
Concluding	remarks			

Summary

- Original methodology to separate dynamical *vs.* non-dynamical contributions to temperature changes.
- CMIP5: surprising future increase in NAO- conditions (to be confirmed. . .).
- Dynamical contribution: minor on mean changes, substantial on uncertainties.

Work in progress.

- Understanding of physical contributions: radiative budgets, heat fluxes, surface variables...
- Estimating uncertainties due to cloud feedbacks & soil processes (soil moisture/snow).
- Extend the methodology to other seasons (e.g., summer) and/or variables (e.g., precipitations).

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	
0000	00	0000	00	0000
Concluding	g remarks			

Summary

- Original methodology to separate dynamical *vs.* non-dynamical contributions to temperature changes.
- CMIP5: surprising future increase in NAO- conditions (to be confirmed...).
- Dynamical contribution: minor on mean changes, substantial on uncertainties.

Work in progress...

- Understanding of physical contributions: radiative budgets, heat fluxes, surface variables. . .
- Estimating uncertainties due to cloud feedbacks & soil processes (soil moisture/snow).
- Extend the methodology to other seasons (e.g., summer) and/or variables (e.g., precipitations).

Introduction	Methodology	Results	Conclusions	
0000	00	0000	0	0000

Thanks.

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)





J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

European temperature extremes in CMIP5/CFMIP2

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三回 - 釣A(で)



20

30

40

50

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ ● ● ●

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

%



Changes in regimes frequencies and structures

Frequencies (*rcp85*—*historical*)





▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)

 Introduction
 Methodology
 Results
 Conclusions
 ++

 0000
 00
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 0000
 000

Contributions to summertime temperature extremes

Mean changes (ensemble mean)

NAO-AL BL AR BC Regimes frequencies (Δf_k) NCc Regimes structures (Δd_k) Non-dynamical processes $(\Delta \Phi)$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)



Contributions to summertime temperature extremes

Uncertainties (ensemble standard deviation)



J. Cattiaux et al. (CNRM)