

Deployment Considerations and Hardware Technologies for Realizing X-Band Radar Networks

Robert Palumbo, Eric Knapp, Ken Wood, David McLaughlin

28 June 2012 Acknowledge *P. Keith Kelly* First RF Corporation, Boulder, CO, USA *Chris McCarroll* Raytheon Company, Andover, MA, USA *Apoorva Bajaj* UMass, Amherst, USA *NSF Engineering Research Centers Program*



Outline

- CASA Overview
 - Why radar networks?
- Network Performance Considerations
- Why Phased Arrays?
- Latest Hardware Technology Development:
 - CASA Phase-Tilt Weather Radar System

Collaborative Adaptive Sensing of the Atmosphere (CASA) (Engineering Research Center





Observe, understand, predict and respond to hazardous weather events



	"Blanket Coverage"		15% Coverage*
	Large Radar (S/C- Bands)	Small Radar (X-Band)	Small Radar (X-Band)
USA / CONUS	145	8,511	1,277
Europe / OPERA	217	5,416	812
France	28	712	107
UK	11	270	41
Belgium	2	42	6

*Critical infrastructure, population centers, borders, etc...

Large numbers of small radars –must be inexpensive, easy to deploy

⁴

X-Band Weather Radar Technologies Deployed Over Past Decade



5



Radar nodes must be a balance between Size/Weight/Cost and Performance

Performance Considerations for X-Band Radar Networks



- Need to establish a performance baseline for X-Band radar systems to be realized in a network:
 - These numbers are derived from the validated performance of the CASA IP1 radar network

Attribute	Top-Level System Requirement	Derived Requirement	
Low Profile	1m ²	X-Band (9.3 – 9.5 GHz); < 200lb	
Scan Volume	100m to 10's of km altitude	Azimuth: 360° Elevation: ~0° to 60° Range ~ 30km	
Radar Sensitivity	< 10dBZ at 30km	100W (w/ Pulse Compression)	
Spatial Resolution	< 1.3km at 30km	2° x 2° antenna beamwidths	
Dual Polarization	Bias in Zdr < 0.2dB	Alternate T/R (ATAR): ICPR > 20dB Simultaneous T/R (STSR): ICPR > 40dB	

Phased Array Radars have the capability to meet these performance baselines ⁶



Why Dense Networks of Phased Arrays

- 1. Capability of Low Level Situational Awareness
 - "Mind the Gap"

2. Ease of Installation

- Easily installed on the sides of buildings, existing infrastructure
- Low weight / low power, no large pedestals requiring dedicated land
- 3. Low maintenance cost
 - No moving parts
- 4. Enhanced reliability
 - Solid state, active arrays over magnetron/klystron systems
- 5. Multi-Mode Operation
 - Can support multiple missions simultaneously



Phased Array Radars as the Next Generation Technology



- University of Massachusetts' research prototype shown below, parts cost ~\$50K (US) per antenna
- Demonstrated better than 20dB cross-pol over +/- 45°











Phase-Tilt Weather Radar

- CASA Phase-tilt antenna concept developed as a commercialized product by First RF Corp., Colorado, USA
- Radar backend (software and transceiver) developed at UMass Amherst





1/16/2013 9



Performance Specifications



Phase-Tilt Weather Radar meets key performance needs

10



Effect of Scan Loss on Radar Sensitivity

- Scan loss in a phased array degrades radar sensitivity off boresight:
 - At Boresight: 16dBZ at 30km
 - At +/- 45°: 19.5dBZ at 30km
- Sensitivity is enhanced through X-Band network signal processing



Performance Comparison



Event on May 30, 2012 – Amherst, MA



*No attenuation correction





1/16/2013 12

Performance Comparison (movie)



Event on May 30, 2012 – Amherst, MA



No moving parts were used in the making of this video!



Conclusion

- X-Band Radar networks fulfill a critical need in many government and municipality weather applications
 - However, development of these networks will only happen if the initial and recurring costs of the technology is low
 - Phase-Tilt technology represents a key step towards driving down the recurring cost of such networks without sacrificing performance
- Have successfully demonstrated the realization of a commercial-grade phase-tilt radar system
 - Continuing to collect data and study effects of phased array technology on weather products



Questions?



Backup



Performance Specifications

Parameter	Unit s	PTWR
Frequency Range	GHz	9.3 – 9.5
Transmit Power (Peak)	W	70
Pulse Length	μS	.6 - 60
Pulse Compression Gain	dB	Up to 20
Duty Cycle (max)		30%
Unambiguous range @ max PRF	km	31
Unambiguous Velocity @ single PRF	m/s	up to 38
Unambiguous Velocity @ Dual PRF	m/s	57 @ (2:3)
Sensitivity @ 30km	dBZ	16
Elevation Beamwidth	deg	2.8
Azimuth Beamwidth	deg	1.8 -2.4
Polarization Mode		Alternating
Integrated Cross Pol Ratio (max)	dB	-20
Power Consumption		600W (average)

Low transmit power supports the feasibility of switches capable of supporting Integrated Cross Pol Ratio requirements