

An introduction to the Met Office Radar data quality management system (RDQMS)



This presentation covers the following areas

- Quality management approaches
- The key components of the RDQMS system
- Examples of where it has been useful
- Discussion of future possibilities



Quality Management Approaches



Quality Management (QM) and the QM cycle





Approaches to quality Met Office

- 1. Monitoring trends of selected parameters and, flagging deviations from expected trend or range
- 2. Evaluating radar product quality by routine comparison with 'ground truth' observations
- 3. Evaluating radar product quality by routine comparison with NWP model fields
- 4. Accumulating diagnostic products over time, investigating the self-consistency and identifying anomalies
- 5. Off-line case study investigation, to determine product quality during high-impact weather events
- 6. Indirect evaluation by examining outputs from downstream applications (e.g. precipitation forecast, river flow forecast).



Why do we want an integrated approach to quality monitoring?

- Enable more rapid root cause analysis and remedial action to be undertaken
- Identify areas for development of scientific techniques and technical solutions (by identifying persistent problems)
- ...and therefore deliver an overall improvement to radar data and radar product quality
- Increase customer confidence in radar products (resulting in increased customer satisfaction and enabling new customers to be established)



Key components of the RDQMS system



Radar Data Quality Management System (RDQMS)

<u>Completed</u>:

- 1. RDQMS infrastructure and website established
- 2. Short-term radar system monitoring developed
- 3. Monthly/seasonal diagnostics developed
- 4. Doppler O-B monitoring integrated



Radar Data Quality Management System (RDQMS)

Future projections:

- 1. Reflectivity O-B monitoring to be integrated.
- 2. Possibility to automatically bias correct the reflectivity data using the relative calibrations.
- 3. Integration of the NWP monitoring suite of tools that are shared with ECMWF.
- 4. Set up components of the RDQMS within the centralised ODC use within a European context.
- 5. Improve feedback we provide to customers by selecting relevant product oriented monitoring.



















Example probability of detection: Munduff Hill, Jan 2011



Surface precipitation

2.5 deg scan

4.0 deg scan

3-month QPE diagnostics Crug-y-gorllwyn Feb – Apr 2012







QPE diagnostics – variation with azimuth, April 2012





QPE diagnostics – variation with range, April 2012





Doppler and NWP model winds





Doppler and NWP model winds









Comparison of radar and NWP simulated reflectivity





Observed – simulated reflectivity: variation with range



(a) 5 days

(b) 30 days (c) 90 days



RDQMS: Future plans



- RDQMS running for over 1 year within Met Office.
- Monitors end to end radar data processing chain and evaluates product quality by delivering a wide range of monitoring and verification information and tools.
- Such an improved monitoring system and its associated diagnostic products allow for earlier identification of any issues with the radars or radar data quality.
- Enables the optimum potential to be achieved from the weather radar network.





- Automate generation of gauge v radar monthly time series
- Investigate alternative quality metrics using historic radar and rain gauge data
- Develop interactive radar v rain gauge analysis tools
- Change way in which rain gauge and corresponding radar data are stored (move to SQLite database)



Development of the OPS reflectivity monitoring

- Visualisation of the OPS reflectivity monitoring
- Mapping anomalies with greater accuracy
 - polar plots displaying the long term O-B bias

- used to better establish where and why deficiencies in the radar calibration may arise e.g. residual clutter; residual beam blockage; radar processing errors; model orographic enhancements.

- sea/ land model parameterisations could also be investigated.