Methods of optimal planning of remote sensing experiment in problems of satellite meteorology.

¹G. V. Kurdyumov Institute for Metal Physics, NASU, Ukraine, 03680, Kiev, Academician Vernadsky blvd. 36. ²Laboratoire de PhysicoChimie de l'Atmosphère (CNRS EA 4493), Univ. du Littoral Côte d'Opale & Univ. Lille Nord de France, 189A Av. Maurice Schumann - 59140 Dunkerque ²Institute of Numerical Mathematics RAS, Russia, 119991, Moscow, ul. Gubkina, 8.*mail to: uvarovn@gmail.com

While optimum planning of satellite experiment it is necessary to take into account the physical nature of measured values. The idea of an increase of the spectral resolution to obtain the high precision of retrieval leads to decreasing of the signal/noise ratio in such "narrow" channels. The alternative idea is an "optimal" merging of radiative energy in the various (correlated) spectral ranges in a number of "superchannels". As its was shown¹, such methods can exceed a "complete" experiment of high resolution in an information content. In this work the techniques of an optimum choice are considered of spectral channels with the fixed and variable widths. The following methods of the optimization and optimal planning were employed for a remote sensing satellites experiments: DRM²(analysis of Data Resolution Matrix), DRM(SVD)³, Jacobians, Iterations (selection of the satellite channels is defined by Entropy Reduction)⁴, superchannel technique (spectral channel with variable width - based on maximizing determinant of Fisher's information matrix)⁵. The "best linear estimate" method and the "variational" technique were employed for the inversion of measurement data by the channels, obtained by various selection techniques. The atmospheric temperature and humidity profiles was retrieved. The retrieval error was calculated for the data of different spectral resolution for those channel selection techniques.

Instrument simulation – forward problem

 $y_{\nu_i\mu_j} = \int d\nu \int \xi_{\nu_i}(\nu) g_{\mu_j}(\mu) I_{\nu}(h,\mu) d\mu + \gamma_{\nu}$ -signal measured at frequency ν , at the angle of θ where: $\mu = \cos \theta$;

- spectral response function; $g_{\mu_i}(\mu)$ - angular function; γ_{ν} - instrument error.

Monochromatic radiation:

$$I_{\nu}(h,\mu>0) = I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} = \varepsilon_{\nu}(\mu)B_{\nu}(T_{0})\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\mu}\int_{0}^{h}k_{\nu}(z'')dz''\right) + \int_{0}^{h}\frac{k_{\nu}}{\mu}B_{\nu}(z')\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\mu}\int_{z'}^{h}k_{\nu}(z'')dz''\right)dz' + a_{\nu}$$

$$k_{\nu}\left(T\left(z\right),c_{i}\left(z\right)\right) - \text{absorption (LBL algorithm);} \quad B_{\nu}\left(T\left(z\right)\right) - \text{Plank function;} \quad \varepsilon_{\nu}(\mu)$$

 $a_{\nu}(\mu)$ - reflection; $\mathcal{E}_{\nu}(\mu) + a_{\nu}(\mu) = 1$

Atmospheric parameters retrieval – inverse problem

 $x = \left(T_0, \vec{T}, \vec{q}\right)$ - sea surface temperature, atmospheric temperature and humidity profiles

• Linear: $R: \hat{x} = Ry$

 $R_{LSR} = S_x A^* \left(A S_x A^* + \Sigma_v \right)^2$ A - Jacobian

 Σ_{c} - error covariance

 S_{x} - predictor x covariance

 Non-linear (variational). Minimization of the cost function: x - vector to retrieve, x_a - a priori estimate, y_m - measurement,

y(x) - forward model, S_a, S_m - weight matrixes.

 $\left| J(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (x_a - x)^T S_a^{-1} (x_a - x) + (y_m - y(x))^T S_m^{-1} (y_m - y(x)) \right\} \right|$

- 3000 samples were taken from the ECMWF Databank for calibration and 300 for verification, the satellite measurements were simulated.
- Linear Reduction estimate is taken as the a priori estimate for variational technics. x after normalization was projected at the PC(EOF) subspace.
- Jacobean was calculated analytically for the modified Newtonian minimization.

Methods of Chanel selection

2.SVD(DRM)

1.DRM

Values diagonal of a DRM matrix is a elements of criteria channel of usefulness.

 $\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{R}_{LSR} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ $\hat{y} = A \cdot \hat{x} = A \cdot R \cdot y \equiv DRM \cdot y$

 $\max(DRM_{ii})$

$$SVD\left(\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot A \cdot S_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = U\Lambda V^{T}$$

Cut nosy components:

$$\Lambda^{2} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{b}^{2}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{9} (\approx 10\%)$$

$$P_{x}^{-1} = B^{-1} + A^{T}\Sigma^{-1}A \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma_{a}^{2}} \approx \frac{1}{\sigma_{b}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\tilde{A}: U\Lambda V^{T} \max(D\tilde{R}M_{u})$$

(weight function) of the ratio of the at half maximum.

4.Iterative method $S_{\hat{r}}^{-1} = S_{r}^{-1} + hh^{T}$ At each iteration the a posteriori covariance matrix is update: The criteria of a channel usefulness is an Entropy Reduction (ER): $ER_i = -\frac{1}{2}\log_2\left(\det\left(\left(\tilde{S}_{\hat{x}}\right)_i \cdot \left(S_{x}\right)^{-1}\right)\right)$

Nicolai Uvarov¹, Anton Sokolov², Anatoly Chavro³.



First step – spectral information analysis:

$$V_M = P_M$$

$$\pi_{0}: \left\{ p_{j}^{0}(\nu_{i}) \right\}_{j=\overline{1,M}}^{i=\overline{1,N}} \qquad p_{j}^{(k+1)}(\nu_{i}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta_{k} + (1-\beta_{k})p_{j}^{(k)}(\nu_{i}), \\ (1-\beta_{k})p_{j}^{(k)}(\nu_{i}), \end{array} \right.$$



etrieval accuracies (23 channels)		DRM	SVD (DRM)	Jacobian	Iterative	Variable width
L S R	Error of T ₀ , K	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41
	Error of T(z), K	1.78	1.78	1.73	1.64	1.39
	Error of q(z), g/kg	0.83	0.83	0.81	0.79	0.68
V a r	Error of T ₀ , K	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
	Error of T(z), K	1.62	1.62	1.59	1.52	1.30
	Error of q(z), g/kg	0.82	0.82	0.78	0.74	0.64

Uvarov N. Methods of channel selection for contemporary IR-spectrometers // Computational Technologies. Tomsk. 2006, V.11, P.1, PP. 72-77 (in russian). Menke W. Geophysical Data Analysis: Discrete Inverse Theory., Academic Press, New York, 1984., 289 p.p. Prunet et al. Assimilation of surface data in a onedimensional physical-biogeochemical model of the surface ocean. //Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 10, 1996, 111-138 p.p. 4. Rogers C.D. Information content and optimisation of high spectral resolution measurements. //OST&IASRII. SPIE. Volume 2830, 1996, 136-147 p.p. 5. Kozlov V.P. About one problem of optimum planning of statistical experiment. //the Theory of probability and its application. 1974. T.19. Page 226-230. (in russian)

Conclusions and perspectives:

 The numerical experiments showed the advantage of the method 5 (Channel merging), due to integration of the energy of radiation in various spectral intervals. •The efficiency of the method increases for better spectral resolution .

•The method suggests an idea of the construction of instrument adopted for the atmospheric remote sensing with spectral intervals merging.