Hybrid approach of ensemble transform and importance sampling for nonlinear data assimilation

S. Nakano and G. Ueno

The Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Japan

13 November 2012

Introduction			
00000			
Particle f	filter		

- The particle filter represents a probability density function (PDF) by a Monte Carlo approximation.
- The ensemble representing a posterior PDF is obtained by resampling a forecast ensemble.
- It is applicable even to the cases with non-linear or non-Gaussian observations.
- However, it requires a huge number of particles to avoid the problem due to ensemble degeneracy.



Introduction			
00000			
Introduction			
Particle fi	lter		

- The particle filter algorithm is based on the importance sampling method, which represents the posterior PDF by weighted sample.
- If we choose a good proposal distribution similar to the posterior PDF, the imbalance of weights among the particles can be reduced, and therefore we could achieve high accuracy and high computational efficiency.
- The prior (forecast) PDF is usually used as the proposal PDF. This makes the algorithm simple. But, a large discrepancy often exists between the prior PDF and the posterior PDF.



Introduction				
00000				
Fnsem	ole transform Kalma	an filter		

- We consider to use the ensemble transform Kalman filter (ETKF) (Bishop et al, 2001) to obtain a proposal distribution for importance sampling.
- In the ETKF, the first and second order moments of the PDF is represented by an ensemble.
- The ETKF algorithm is derived on the basis of the linear Gaussian observation model.
- It therefore ignores non-Gaussian features of the PDF.
- The aim of using the importance sampling method is to represent non-Gaussian features of the PDF that is not considered by the ETKF.

Introduction			
00000			
Introduction			
Remark			

- The importance sampling method is based on a Monte Carlo representation which assumes that the ensemble size is of the order of the exponential of the state dimension.
- On the other hand, the ensemble Kalman filters (esp. ETKF) is used with a limited ensemble size (much less than the state dimension).
- If the ensemble size *N* is smaller than the rank of the state covariance matrix, the ensemble would form a simplex in an (*N* − 1)-dimensional subspace; that is, it provides a spherical simplex representation of the PDF (Wang et al., 2004).
- The ETKF uses a conceptually different representation from the importance sampling.



Introduction			
00000			
Introduction			
Overvie	W		



- We consider cases in which the forecast PDF is represented by a simplex representation with a limited-size ensemble.
- To allow nonlinear or non-Gaussian observation models, the simplex representation is converted into a Monte Carlo representation. Then the importance sampling method is applied.
- Finally, the importance sampling result is converted into a simplex representation again.

	Ensemble transform Kalman filter		
	000		
Ensemble transform Kali	man filter		
Some def	initions		

- Suppose that the forecast distribution is represented by an ensemble $\{\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}^{(N)}\}$.
- The mean of the forecast distribution is obtained as:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{x}_{k|k-1}^{(i)}.$$

• We define a matrix $X_{k|k-1}$ and $Y_{k|k-1}$ as

$$\mathsf{X}_{k|k-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\delta \mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}^{(1)} \quad \cdots \quad \delta \mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}^{(N)} \right), \quad \mathsf{Y}_{k|k-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\delta \mathbf{y}_{k|k-1}^{(1)} \quad \cdots \quad \delta \mathbf{y}_{k|k-1}^{(N)} \right),$$

where $\delta \mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}^{(i)} = \mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}^{(i)} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k-1}$ and $\delta \mathbf{y}_{k|k-1}^{(i)} = H_k(\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1}^{(i)}) - \overline{H_k(\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1})}$, respectively, and we assumed the following observation model

$$\mathbf{y}_k = H_k(\mathbf{x}_k) + \mathbf{w}_k.$$

The covariance matrix of the forecast (predictive) distribution is written as $V_{k|k-1} = X_{k|k-1} X_{k|k-1}^T$.

	Ensemble transform Kalman filter				
	000				
Ensemble transform Kal	man filter				
Ensemble	transform Kalma	n filter (ETKF) (Bishop et	al., 2001)	

• The mean of the filtered distribution is obtained according to the Kalman filter algorithm:

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} = \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k-1} + \mathsf{K}_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{k} - \mathsf{H}_{k}\boldsymbol{x}_{k|k-1}\right)$$

- The square root of the covariance matrix is also calculated as $X_{k|k}^{\dagger} = X_{k|k-1}T_k$, where the matrix T_k is designed to satisfy $V_{k|k}^{\dagger} = X_{k|k}^{\dagger} X_{k|k}^{\dagger T}$ and $X_{k|k}^{\dagger} = 0$, where $\mathbf{1} = (1 \cdots 1)^T$. The latter condition is required to preserve the mean of the PDF (Wang et al., 2004; Livings et al., 2008).
- Using the following eigen-value decomposition

$$\mathsf{Y}_{k|k-1}\mathsf{R}_{k}^{-1}\mathsf{Y}_{k|k-1}=\mathsf{U}_{k}\mathsf{\Lambda}_{k}\mathsf{U}_{k}^{T},$$

the matrices K_k and T_k are obtained as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{K}_k &= \mathsf{X}_{k|k-1} \mathsf{U}_k (\mathbf{I}_N + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)^{-1} \mathsf{U}_k^T \mathsf{Y}_{k|k-1}^T \mathsf{R}_k^{-1}, \\ \mathsf{T}_k &= \mathsf{U}_k (\mathbf{I}_N + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathsf{U}_k^T, \end{split}$$

where R_k is the covariance matrix of the observation noise.

	Ensemble transform Kalman filter			
	000			
Ensemble transfor	m Kalman filter			
Sampli	na from the FTKF e	stimate		

- The ETKF estimates the filtered (posterior) distribution as a Gaussian distribution N(x[†]_{k|k}, V[†]_{k|k}).
- However, it does not actually calculate the covariance matrix V[†]_{klk} itself. Instead, a square root of the covariance matrix X[†]_{klk} is calculated.
- Using the matrix X[†]_{k|k}, we can easily generate a large number of random numbers obeying N(x[†]_{k|k}, V[†]_{k|k}) using the following generative model:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_k = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{X}_{k|k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{z}_k, \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{z}_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathbf{I}_N).$$



		Importance sampling		
		00000		
Importance sampling				
Importan	ce sampling			

Since the posterior distribution $p(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k})$ is written as

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k})}{\pi(\mathbf{x}_{k})}\pi(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{k})p(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})}{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})\pi(\mathbf{x}_{k})}\pi(\mathbf{x}_{k}),$$

the posterior $p(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k})$ can be represented by the importance sampling using the sample drawn from $\pi(\mathbf{x}_k)$:

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k}) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)})p(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})}{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})\pi(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)})} \delta(\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)}).$$

- In the normal particle filter, the forecast $p(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})$ is used as $\pi(\mathbf{x}_k)$.
- On the other hand, we use the estimate of *p*(**x**_k|**y**_{1:k}) obtained by the ETKF as the proposal *π*(**x**_k).

		Importance sampling		
		0000		
Importance sampling				
Importan	ce sampling			

If we obtain the proposal π(x_k) by the ETKF, we can generate a large number of particles from π(x_k) according to the following generative model:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} + \mathsf{X}_{k|k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathbf{I}_{N})\right).$$

In order to approximate the posterior p(x_k|y_{1:k}) using the importance sampling method as follows:

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k}) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)})p(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})}{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})\pi(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)})} \delta(\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)}),$$

we need to calculate

$$\frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}_k^{\pi(j)}|\boldsymbol{y}_{1:k-1})}{\pi(\boldsymbol{x}_k^{\pi(j)})}$$

for each particle $x_k^{\pi(j)}$. (We can obtain $p(y_k | x_k^{\pi(j)})$ from the observation model.)

		Importance sampling		
		00000		
Importance sampling				
Importance	e weight			

According to the generative model

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathbf{I}_{N})\right),$$

 $\pi(\mathbf{x}_{k|k}^{\pi,(j)})$ can be associated with the probability density for $\mathbf{z}_{k}^{(j)}$, $p(\mathbf{z}_{k}^{(j)})$.

- The probability density $p(z_k^{(j)})$ is proportional to $\exp\left(-||z_k^{(j)}||^2/2\right)$.
- Considering that $X_{k|k}^{\dagger}$ satisfies the mean-preserving condition $X_{k|k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$, the component parallel to $\mathbf{1}$ is projected onto a null space. We therefore obtain

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{x}_{k|k}^{\pi,(j)}) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)}\right\|^{2} - \frac{(\mathbf{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)})^{2}}{N}\right)\right].$$

	Importance sampling		
	00000		
Importance sampling			

We consider that a sample from the forecast $p(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})$ is generated according to the following model:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_k = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{k|k-1} \boldsymbol{z}_k \quad \Big(\boldsymbol{z}_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_N) \Big).$$

We can then evaluate the probability density that $\mathbf{x}_{k|k}^{\pi,(j)}$ is drawn from the forecast distribution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k|k}^{\pi,(j)} &= \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} + \mathsf{X}_{k|k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{z}_{k}^{(j)} = \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k-1} + \mathsf{K}_{k} \left(\mathbf{y}_{k} - \overline{\mathbf{h}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1})} \right) + \mathsf{X}_{k|k-1} \mathsf{T}_{k} \mathbf{z}_{k}^{(j)} \\ &= \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k-1} + \mathsf{X}_{k|k-1} \left[\mathsf{U}_{k} (\mathsf{I}_{N} + \Lambda_{k})^{-1} \mathsf{U}_{k}^{T} \mathsf{Y}_{k|k-1}^{T} \mathsf{R}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y}_{k} - \overline{\mathbf{h}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k|k-1})} \right) + \mathsf{T}_{k} \mathbf{z}^{(j)} \right] \\ &= \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k-1} + \mathsf{X}_{k|k-1} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{k}^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{k}^{(j)} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{k}(\boldsymbol{\mathrm{I}}_{N} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{\Lambda}}_{k})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}_{k|k-1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{R}}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{k} - \overline{\boldsymbol{h}_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k|k-1})}\right) + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}_{k}\boldsymbol{z}^{(j)}.$$

We therefore obtain

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{k|k}^{\pi,(j)}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1}) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{k}^{(j)}\right\|^{2} - \frac{(\mathbf{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{k}^{(j)})^{2}}{N}\right)\right].$$

	Importance sampling		
	00000		
Importance sampling			

As seen previously, the posterior distribution is approximated as

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k}) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)})p(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})}{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{y}_{1:k-1})\pi(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)})} \delta(\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)}).$$

If we generate the proposal sample according to the following model:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k|k}^{\pi,(j)} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k-1} + \mathsf{X}_{k|k-1} \boldsymbol{z}_k^{(j)} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{z}_k^{(j)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathbf{I}_N)\right),$$

the weight for each particle can be given as follows:

$$\beta_{k}^{(j)} \propto \frac{p(\mathbf{y}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{k|k}^{\pi,(j)}) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{k}^{(j)}\right\|^{2} - \frac{(\mathbf{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{k}^{(j)})^{2}}{N}\right)\right]}{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)}\right\|^{2} - \frac{(\mathbf{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)})^{2}}{N}\right)\right]}$$

We then obtain a new approximation of the posterior PDF:

$$p(\mathbf{x}_k|\mathbf{y}_{1:k}) \approx \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_k^{(j)} \delta(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_k^{\pi(j)}).$$

		Reconstruction	
		000000	
Reconstruction			
Ensembl	e reconstruction		

- Using the weight β^(j)_k, we can obtain a random sample from the posterior p(x_k|y_{1:k}) with the rejection sampling method or the independent chain Metropolis-Hastings method.
- However, we consider the case in which a large ensemble size is not allowed. A small-size ensemble generated randomly would not give a good approximation of p(x_k|y_{1:k}).
- To avoid the errors due to the randomness, we construct a simplex approximation that represents the first and second order moments of the posterior.



		Reconstruction	
		000000	
Reconstruction			
Moments	on the <i>z</i> -space		

If we calculate the mean and the covariance on the *z*-space:

$$\bar{z}_k = \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_k^{(j)} z_k^{(j)}, \qquad \qquad \mathsf{V}_{z,k|k} = \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_k^{(j)} (z_k^{(j)} - \bar{z}_k) (z_k^{(j)} - \bar{z}_k)^T,$$

the mean and the covariance of the filtered distribution $p(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k})$ are given as follows:

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k} = \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{X}_{k|k}^{\dagger} \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_{k}, \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathsf{V}}_{k|k} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{k|k} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{k|k}^{T} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{V}}_{\boldsymbol{z},k|k} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{k|k}^{\dagger T}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger}$ and $X_{k|k}^{\dagger}$ provide the estimate by the ETKF. To avoid the bias of the ensemble mean, the new $X_{k|k}$ should also satisfy

$$\mathsf{X}_{k|k}\mathbf{1}=\mathbf{0}.$$

		Reconstruction	
		000000	
Reconstruction			

We define the following matrix

$$\mathsf{A} = \mathbf{I}_N - \frac{1}{N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which obviously satisfies

A1 = 0.

The covariance matrix $V_{k|k}$ can then be written as follows:

$$V_{k|k} = X_{k|k}^{\dagger} V_{z,k|k} X_{k|k}^{\dagger T}$$
$$= X_{k|k}^{\dagger} A V_{z,k|k} A^{T} X_{k|k}^{\dagger T}$$

because obviously $X_{k|k}^{\dagger} = X_{k|k}^{\dagger} A$.

		Reconstruction	
		000000	
Reconstruction			

When we calculate the eigen-value decomposition of the matrix $AV_{z,k|k}A^T$ as

$$\mathsf{A} \mathsf{V}_{z,k|k} \mathsf{A}^T = \mathsf{U}_{z,k} \Gamma_k \mathsf{U}_{z,k}^T,$$

the matrix $U_{z,k}$ contains an eigen-vector which is parallel to 1 and corresponds to zero eigen-value. Therefore, if we define $X_{k|k}$ as

$$\mathsf{X}_{k|k} = \mathsf{X}_{k|k}^{\dagger} \mathsf{U}_{z,k} \Gamma_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathsf{U}_{z,k}^{T},$$

it satisfies both of the necessary conditions:

$$\mathbf{X}_{k|k}\mathbf{X}_{k|k}^{T} = \mathbf{X}_{k|k}^{\dagger}\mathbf{V}_{z,k|k}\mathbf{X}_{k|k}^{\dagger T},$$
$$\mathbf{X}_{k|k}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}.$$

		Reconstruction	
		000000	
Reconstruction			
Ensemble	e reconstruction		

Finally, we obtain ensemble perturbations:

$$\left(\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k|k-1}^{(1)} \quad \cdots \quad \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k|k-1}^{(N)}\right) = \sqrt{N} X_{k|k-1}$$

We then obtain the filtered ensemble:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k|k}^{(i)} = \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k} + \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{k|k}^{(i)}.$$

		Reconstruction	
		000000	
Reconstruction			
Remark			

- Using the generative model, $\mathbf{x}_{k}^{\pi(j)} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} + \mathsf{X}_{k|k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{z}_{k}^{(j)}$, the ensemble members are generated in the subspace spanned by the ensemble members.
- We could consider a small uncertainty in the complement space as follows

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k}^{\pi,(j)} = \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k|k}^{\dagger} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{k|k}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{z}_{k}^{(j)} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k}^{(j)},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{k}^{(j)}$ is a random sample representing the uncertainty of the orthogonal complement space. But, this may invoke 'the curse of dimensionality'.

• As far as we ignore the complement space, we can convert between the importance sampling result and a spherical simplex representation through the calculation in the small subspace spanned by the forecast ensemble members. This would help reduce the computational cost.

			Experiment	
			000000	
Experiment				
Experime	nt			

We performed experiments using the Lorenz 96 model (Lorenz and Emanuel 1998):

$$\frac{dx_l}{dt} = (x_{l+1} - x_{l-2})x_{l-1} - x_l + f$$

where $x_{-1} = x_{L-1}$, $x_0 = x_L$, and $x_{L+1} = x_1$. We take the dimension of a state vector *L* to be 40 and the forcing term *f* to be 8. One time step was assumed to be 0.01.

It was assumed that x_l can be observed only if l is an even number. This means that the half of the variables x_l are observable.

		Experiment	
		000000	
Experiment			

The following observation model is considered:

$$y_k^l = \log |x_k^l| + w_k^l \quad \left(w_k^l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.0225)\right)$$

The system noise is assumed as follows:

 $v_k^l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.01).$

		Experiment	
		000000	
Experiment			
Result			

An estimate of an observed variable

- With 30 ensemble members (and 1920 particles for importance sampling)
- RMSE: 0.06 (with the hybrid algorithm), 0.19 (with the ETKF)



		Experiment	
		0000000	
Experiment			
Result			



		Experiment	
		0000000	
Experiment			
Result			



		Experiment	
		0000000	
Experiment			
Result			

An estimate of an unobserved variable

- With 30 ensemble members (and 1920 particles for importance sampling)
- RMSE: 0.06 (with the hybrid algorithm), 0.19 (with the ETKF)



		Experiment	
		000000	
Experiment			
Result			

- Blue line: the result with the ETKF
- Histogram: the result with the hybrid algorithm
- Solid vertical line: the true state
- Dashed vertical line: the observed value



			Summary
			•
Summa	ry		

- A good proposal distribution could improve the computational efficiency of the particle filter.
- We propose a hybrid algorithm which use the ensemble transform Kalman filter (ETKF) to obtain the proposal.
- While the importance sampling method used in the particle filter requires abundant particles, the ETKF is based on a spherical simplex representation which uses less particles than the state dimension. We then make the conversion between a simplex representation and a Monte Carlo representation.
- In our approach, this conversion is performed in the low-dimensional subspace spanned by the forecast ensemble members.
- Even though the uncertainty is considered only in the subspace, the proposed approach seems to well work in the cases with nonlinear, non-Gaussian observation models in which the application of ensemble Kalman filters is not valid.