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What will we discuss in this talk?

- Can we maintain good convergence properties of 4D-Var?
- Can we further accelerate the convergence rate?
Preconditioning of saddle point approach


## Outline

- Saddle point approach of 4D-Var
- Preconditioning of saddle point formulation
- Numerical results
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## Saddle Point Approach

- Let us consider weak-constraint 4D-Var as a constrained problem and write the Lagrangian function. Then the stationary point of $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies the system of equations that can be written in a matrix form as:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{D} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{L} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{H} \\
\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\
\boldsymbol{\mu} \\
\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{x}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{d} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- This system is called the saddle-point formulation of 4D-Var.
- $\mathbf{L}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}I & & & & \\ -M_{1} & I & & & \\ & -M_{2} & I & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -M_{N} & I\end{array}\right)$ is an n-by-n matrix.
- $\mathbf{H}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{N}}\right)$ is an n -by-m matrix.
- $\mathbf{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{N}}\right)$ is an $n$-by-n matrix.
- $\mathbf{R}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{N}}\right)$ is an m-by-m matrix.
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where $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(2 n+m)$-by- $(2 n+m)$ indefinite symmetric matrix.
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- In matrix form:
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where $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(2 n+m)$-by- $(2 n+m)$ indefinite symmetric matrix.

- The solution of this problem is a saddle point

$\rightarrow$ This approach is time-parallel.
$\rightarrow$ Solution algorithm: GMRES method with a preconditioner.
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## Efficient preconditioner $\mathcal{P}$

- is an approximation to $\mathcal{A}$
- the cost of constructing and applying the preconditioner should be less than the gain in computational cost
- exploits the block structure of the problem for saddle point systems Implementation
- Solving a system $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f}$ with a preconditioner $\mathcal{P}$ requires solving

$$
\left(\mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{A}\right) \mathbf{u}=\mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathbf{f}
$$

## Preconditioning Saddle Point Systems

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{L} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{H} \\
\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Block preconditioners (Kuznetsov (1995), Murphy, Golub and Wathen (2000), Bramble and Pasciak (1988))

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & -\mathrm{S}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{P}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{T} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{S}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{B A}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{B}^{\boldsymbol{T}}$ is the Schur complement (the unpreconditioned 4D-Var Hessian).

- Constraint preconditioners (Bergamaschi et. al (2004), Gould and Wathen (2000), Benzi et al. 2005)

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} & \mathbf{B}^{T} \\
\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is assumed that solving the system involving $\mathcal{P}$ is significantly easier than solving the original system.

- Hermitian and skew Hermitian splitting of $\mathcal{A}$, stationary iterative methods, multilevel methods, ... (Benzi et al (2005))


## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{L} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{H} \\
\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- The inexact constraint preconditioner proposed by (Bergamaschi et. al. 2005) is promising for our application. The preconditioner can be chosen as:

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \widetilde{\mathbf{L}} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{0} \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

- $\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}$ is an approximation to the matrix $\mathbf{L}$
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0}\end{array}\right]$ is a full row rank approximation of the matrix $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times(m+n)}$


## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

$$
\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{D} & \mathbf{0} & \mathrm{~L} \\
0 & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{H} \\
\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\
\mu \\
\boldsymbol{\delta}
\end{array}\right)}_{u}=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{d} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)}_{f_{k}}
$$

When solving a sequence of saddle point systems, can we further improve the preconditioning for the outer loops $k>1$ ?

Can we find low-rank updates for the inexact constraint preconditioner that approximates $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ or its effect on a vector?
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$\rightarrow$ GMRES performs matrix-vector products with $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
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$\rightarrow$ We can use the pairs $\left(\mathbf{u}_{j}^{(k)}, \mathbf{f}_{j}^{(k)}\right)$ to find an update $\Delta \mathbf{B}$.

## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathbf{u}_{1}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}}=\binom{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{c}} & \Rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathbf{u}_{1}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\Delta \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathbf{u}_{1}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}}=\binom{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{c}} \\
& \Rightarrow\binom{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_{1}+\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{u}_{1}}+\binom{\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2}}{\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1}}=\binom{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{c}} \\
& \Rightarrow\binom{\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2}}{\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1}}=\binom{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_{1}-\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2}}{\mathbf{c}-\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{u}_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Let's define the vectors $\mathbf{r}_{b}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{c}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_{b} & =\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_{1}-\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} \\
\mathbf{r}_{c} & =\mathbf{c}-\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{u}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} & =\mathbf{r}_{b}  \tag{1}\\
\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1} & =\mathbf{r}_{c} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$\rightarrow$ We want to find an update $\Delta B$ satisfying these equations.
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$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} & =\mathbf{r}_{b}
\end{aligned} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha \mathbf{w} \mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2}=\mathbf{r}_{b}, \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{r}_{c} .
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from which we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w} & =\mathbf{r}_{b} / \alpha \mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} \\
\mathbf{v} & =\mathbf{r}_{c} / \alpha \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}
\end{aligned}
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## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

- A rank-1 update to $\Delta B$ can be given by

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}=\alpha \mathbf{\mathbf { w } ^ { \mathrm { T } }}
$$

where $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$.

- Substituting this relation into equations (1) and (2), we get

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} & =\mathbf{r}_{b} & \Leftrightarrow \alpha \mathbf{w v}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} & =\mathbf{r}_{b} \\
\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1} & =\mathbf{r}_{c} & \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1} & =\mathbf{r}_{c}
\end{array}
$$

from which we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w} & =\mathbf{r}_{b} / \alpha \mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} \\
\mathbf{v} & =\mathbf{r}_{c} / \alpha \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- With the choice of

$$
\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{r}_{b} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{r}_{c}
$$

we can show that $\alpha$ is compatible and given as

$$
\alpha=1 / \mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2}=1 / \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}
$$

## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

- As a result, an inexact constraint preconditioner $\mathcal{P}$ can be updated from
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- As a result, an inexact constraint preconditioner $\mathcal{P}$ can be updated from

$$
\mathcal{P}_{j+1}=\mathcal{P}_{j}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \Delta \mathbf{B}^{T} \\
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$$
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\mathbf{v} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{F}} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha \mathbf{w}^{T} & \mathbf{0} \\
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\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{G}}
$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is an $(2 n+m)$-by- 2 matrix and $\mathbf{G}$ is an 2 -by- $(2 n+m)$ matrix.

- Using the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula on this equation gives the inverse update as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{j+1}^{-1}=\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}-\mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{I}_{2}+\mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{F}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_{j}^{-1}
$$
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- We have shown that it is possible to find a low-cost low-rank update for the inexact constraint preconditioner.
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- We have shown that it is possible to find a low-cost low-rank update for the inexact constraint preconditioner.
- This update amounts to the two-sided-rank-one (TR1) update proposed by Griewank and Walther (2002).

TR1 update:

- It generalizes the classical symmetric rank-one update.
- It maintains the validity of all previous secant conditions.
- It is invariant with respect to linear transformations
- It has no least change characterization in terms of any particular matrix norm.
$\rightarrow$ Next slides are dedicated to find the least-Frobenius norm update.

$$
\text { Frobenius norm : }\|A\|_{F}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}^{2}\right|}
$$
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- Remember that we want to find an update such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} & =\mathbf{r}_{b}  \tag{1}\\
\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1} & =\mathbf{r}_{c} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

- Remember that we want to find an update such that
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\begin{align*}
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} & =\mathbf{r}_{b}  \tag{1}\\
\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1} & =\mathbf{r}_{c} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

- Any solution $\Delta \mathbf{B}$ satisfying Equation (1) can be written as [Lemma 2.1](Sun 1999)

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{T}=\mathbf{r}_{b} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger}+\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

where $\dagger$ denotes the pseudo-inverse and $\mathbf{S}$ is an $(n+m) \times n$ matrix. Inserting this relation into (2) yields

$$
\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}+\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{r}_{c}
$$

## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

- Remember that we want to find an update such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{2} & =\mathbf{r}_{b}  \tag{1}\\
\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1} & =\mathbf{r}_{c} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

- Any solution $\Delta \mathbf{B}$ satisfying Equation (1) can be written as [Lemma 2.1](Sun 1999)

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{T}=\mathbf{r}_{b} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger}+\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

where $\dagger$ denotes the pseudo-inverse and $\mathbf{S}$ is an $(n+m) \times n$ matrix. Inserting this relation into (2) yields

$$
\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}+\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{r}_{c}
$$

- If this equation admits one solution, its least Frobenius norm solution,

$$
\min _{\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}}\left\|\left(\mathbf{r}_{c}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}\right\|_{F}
$$

can be written as [Lemma 2.3](Sun 1999)

$$
\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{*}=\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}_{c}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}\right) \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger} .
$$

## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

- Substituting the solution for $\mathbf{S}$ into $\Delta \mathbf{B}$ yields that

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{*}=\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}+\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{r}_{c} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger}
$$
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\Delta \mathbf{B}^{*}=\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}+\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{r}_{c} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger}
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- This formula is not invariant with respect to linear transformations.


## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

- Substituting the solution for $\mathbf{S}$ into $\Delta \mathbf{B}$ yields that

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{*}=\mathbf{u}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}+\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{r}_{c} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger}
$$

- This formula is not invariant with respect to linear transformations.
- We want to find such a formula by solving the following variational problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min \left\|\mathbf{W}_{1}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{-1}\right\|_{F} \\
\text { s.t. } \Delta \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{2}=\mathbf{r}_{b} \\
\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{r}_{c}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathbf{W}_{1}$ is any symmetric positive definite matrix such that $\mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{W}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{2}=\mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{W}_{2}$ is any symmetric positive definite matrix such that $\mathbf{W}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{b}$. For instance, $\mathbf{W}_{1}$ can be considered as $\mathbf{B A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{T}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{2}$ can be considered as $\mathbf{A}$.

## Preconditioning Saddle Point Formulation of 4D-Var

- Substituting the solution for $\mathbf{S}$ into $\Delta \mathbf{B}$ yields that

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{*}=\mathbf{u}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}+\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T} \dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{r}_{c} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\dagger}
$$

- This formula is not invariant with respect to linear transformations.
- We want to find such a formula by solving the following variational problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min \left\|\mathbf{W}_{1}^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{-1}\right\|_{F} \\
\text { s.t. } \Delta \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{2}=\mathbf{r}_{b} \\
\Delta \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{r}_{c}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathbf{W}_{1}$ is any symmetric positive definite matrix such that $\mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{W}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{u}_{2}=\mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{W}_{2}$ is any symmetric positive definite matrix such that $\mathbf{W}_{2}^{\top} \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{b}$. For instance, $\mathbf{W}_{1}$ can be considered as $\mathbf{B A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{T}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{2}$ can be considered as $\mathbf{A}$.

- The solution is given as:

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}^{*}=\frac{\mathbf{c r}_{b}^{T}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{c}}+\frac{\mathbf{r}_{c} \mathbf{b}^{T}}{\mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{b}}-\frac{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{c} \mathbf{c b}^{T}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{b}}
$$

- This formula can be rewritten as

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T}} & \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}} & -\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{c}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{b}}^{T} \\
\frac{\mathbf{b}^{T}}{\mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{b}} \\
\frac{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}{ }^{T}}{\mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{b}}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{\mathbf { W W } ^ { T } ,}
$$

- This formula can be rewritten as

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{c}} & \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}} & -\frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{c}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{b}}^{T} \\
\frac{\mathbf{b}^{T}}{\mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{b}} \\
\frac{\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{b}^{T}}{\mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{b}}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{V \mathbf { W } ^ { T } ,}
$$

- The preconditioner can be updated by using the following formula

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}=\mathcal{P}_{0}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{V} \mathbf{W}^{\top} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{0}+\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{W} \\
\mathbf{V} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{F}} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{W}^{\top} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{\top}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{G}}
$$

- The inverse formula is then given by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{F}^{-1}=\mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1}-\mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{I}_{6}+\mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{F}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1}
$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is an $(2 n+m)$-by- 6 matrix and $\mathbf{G}$ is an 6 -by- $(2 n+m)$ matrix.

## Numerical Results

Implementation platform

- We used the Object Oriented Prediction System (OOPS) developed by ECMWF
- OOPS consists of simplified models of a real-system

The model

- It is a two-layer quasi-geostraphic model with 1600 grid-points


## Implementation details

- There are 100 observations of stream function every 3 hours, 100 wind observations plus 100 wind-speed observations every 6 hours
- The error covariance matrices are assumed to be horizontally isotropic and homogeneous, with Gaussian spatial structure
- The analysis window is 24 hours, and is divided into 8 subwindows
- 3 outer loops with 10 inner loops each are performed


## Methods

(1) Standard weak-constrained 4D-Var formulation
$\rightarrow$ Solution method is preconditioned conjugate-gradients

## Methods

(1) Standard weak-constrained 4D-Var formulation
$\rightarrow$ Solution method is preconditioned conjugate-gradients
(2) Saddle point formulation with an updated inexact constraint preconditioner
$\rightarrow$ Solution method is GMRES
$\rightarrow$ The initial preconditioner is chosen as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \widetilde{\mathbf{L}} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{0} \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \tilde{\mathbf{L}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{I} & & & \\
-\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I}
\end{array}\right)
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## Methods

(1) Standard weak-constrained 4D-Var formulation
$\rightarrow$ Solution method is preconditioned conjugate-gradients
(2) Saddle point formulation with an updated inexact constraint preconditioner
$\rightarrow$ Solution method is GMRES
$\rightarrow$ The initial preconditioner is chosen as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{P}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \widetilde{\mathbf{L}} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{0} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \tilde{\mathbf{L}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{I} & & \\
-\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} & \\
& \ddots & \ddots \\
& & -\mathbf{I} \\
\mathbf{I}
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} & -\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1} \mathbf{D} \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{I} & \\
\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
\mathbf{I} & \cdots & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Second-level preconditioners:
(1) $\mathcal{T}_{k}$ : The preconditioner obtained by using the TR1 update
(2) $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ : The preconditioner obtained by using the least-Frobenius update

## The performance of the second level preconditioners




- Second-level preconditioners obtained by using updates may accelerate the convergence
- When all pairs are used the least-Frobenius update is more stable.


## Overall performance compared with the standard 4DVar formulation



Figure: Nonlinear cost function values along iterations


Figure: Nonlinear cost function values along sequential subwindow integrations

- At each iteration the standard 4DVar formulation requires one application of $\mathbf{L}^{-1}$, followed by one application of $\mathbf{L}^{-\mathrm{T}}$ ( 16 sequential subwindow integrations)
- At each iteration of saddle point formulation require one subwindow integration (provided that $\mathbf{L}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{L}^{-\mathrm{T}}$ are applied simultaneously)


## Conclusions

- The saddle point formulation of weak-constraint 4D-Var allows parallelisation in the time dimension.
- Finding an effective preconditioner is a key issue in solving the saddle point systems.
- The inexact constraint preconditioner can be used to precondition the saddle point formulation of 4D-Var.
- When solving a sequence of saddle point systems, a low-rank low-cost update formulas can be found to further improve preconditioning.
- The preconditioned GMRES algorithm for saddle point formulation is competitive with the existing algorithms and has the potential to allow 4D-Var to remain computationally viable on next-generation computer architectures.

Thank you for your attention!

