Impacts of Urban Morphology and Climate Change on Urban Heat Island

over Beijing Metropolitan Area: Compact- Versus Dispersed-city

Long Yang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Dev Niyogi<sup>1</sup>, James Smith<sup>3</sup>, Mukul Tewari<sup>4</sup>, Daniel Aliaga<sup>2</sup>, Fei Chen<sup>4</sup>, Fuqiang Tian<sup>2</sup>, Guangheng Ni<sup>2</sup>

(1. Purdue University; 2. Tsinghua University; 3. Princeton University; 4. NCAR)



NCAR 11×1

# **1. ABSTRACT**

**BACKGROUND**: Cities evolve in both size and shape (spatial pattern).

**OBJECTIVE**: In this study, we examine the thermal environment (e.g., urban heat island) over Beijing metropolitan area with projected spatial patterns of urban coverage (compact-city and dispersed-city); we evaluate relative contributions of future climate and urbanization to regional warming; **METHODS:** We rely on state-of-art atmospheric modeling tools and in-situ observations to evaluate the range of dynamical and thermal behaviors under different scenarios (with both spatial patterns of urban coverage and climate forcing considered). **RESULTS**: The urban core region is cooler in the dispersed city and the urban-rural contrast is also narrower. However, Dispersed city produces a larger warming effect to regional climate than compact city. In terms of future impacts, the climate change signature is the dominant forcing and therefore dispersed city scenario appears to have an advantage. Note that the traffic patterns are not being considered in this assessment. **CONCLUSIONS:** Other mitigation strategies should be employed along with city designs to enhance urban adaptability to future climate change.

#### **4. EXPERIMENTS SETUP**

Both impacts of urbanization and future climate change are considered:

For urbanization: compact-city (Fig. 3c) versus dispersed-city (Fig. 3d), both scenarios have the same urban coverage (twice as large as the current urban coverage);

For future climate change: 2050s climate forcing from CCSM (RCP 8.5);

# **6. RESULTS: UHI FOOTPRINT-HORIZONTAL**

Definition of UHI footprint-horizontal: Horizontal spatially averaged 2m temperature over and beyond the extent of urbanization.

**NOTE 2:** (a) Dispersed-city scenario produce a larger regional warming effect (by 0.1 K on average) than compact-city scenario; (b) urban core region is cooler (by 0.15 K) in dispersed-city scenario than compact-city; (c) The difference between two urban

# **2. CASE DESCRIPTION**

Time period: 1<sup>st</sup> July-10<sup>th</sup> July, 2010



Figure 3. (a) Topographic features around Beijing; (b) current urban coverage; urban coverage for (c) compact-city scenario and (d) dispersedcity scenario. Urban boundaries are highlighted by black solid curves .

Table 2. Configuration of Experimental Runs (No.1 is control simulation)

| NO. | Urban scenario | Climate Forcing            |  |
|-----|----------------|----------------------------|--|
| 1   | Current        | Current (2010)/ERA-interim |  |
| 2   | Compact-city   | Current (2010)/ERA-interim |  |
| 3   | Dispersed-city | Current (2010)/ERA-interim |  |
| 4   | Current        | Future (2050s)/CCSM RCP8.5 |  |
| 5   | Compact-city   | Future (2050s)/CCSM RCP8.5 |  |
| 6   | Dispersed-city | Future (2050s)/CCSM RCP8.5 |  |



#### Figure 6. UHI footprint (horizontal) over different scenarios

#### **6. RESULTS: UHI FOOTPRINT-VERTICAL**







(major regional heat wave);

Average 2m temperature during 4<sup>th</sup>July-6<sup>th</sup> July exceeds 33 degree C (Fig. 1);



Figure 1. Time series of 2m temperature over Beijing urban core region

# **3. MODEL CONFIGURATION**

We use the WRF coupled with Single-Layer UCM modeling system. Three one-way nested domains were configured over Beijing (Fig. 2). ERA-interim were chosen to provide boundary/initial conditions for all simulations.



#### **5. MODEL VALIDATION**

Model results from control simulation were validated against in-situ observations (Fig. 4).



Figure 4. comparison of 2m temperature (red line is simulation)

# **6. RESULTS: UHI INTENSITY**

Definition of UHI intensity (UHII): Average of 2m temperature difference

temperature profile than 0.00 0.10 0.15 Theta difference (K) compact-city. Figure 8. Vertical profiles of average potential temperature difference

0.20

#### **6. RESULTS: RELATIVE CONTRIBUTION**

**NOTE 4:** Climate change contributes more than 80% to the total warming over the urban core region in 2050s, while the contribution of urban coverage to warming is ~20% (assessed using

#### Figure 2. WRF domain configurations

| Table 1. WRF physics options       |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Option                             |  |  |  |
| RRTM Scheme                        |  |  |  |
| Dudhia Scheme                      |  |  |  |
| Monin-Obukhov Scheme               |  |  |  |
| Noah LSM                           |  |  |  |
| Yonsei University Scheme (YSU)     |  |  |  |
| Single Layer UCM (SLUCM)           |  |  |  |
| Noah Land Surface Model (Noah LSM) |  |  |  |
| MODIS 30s                          |  |  |  |
|                                    |  |  |  |

between urban region and rural region. **NOTE 1**: UHII is reduced by 0.5 C on average in dispersed-city scenario, while compact-city increase UHII (by ~0.1 C on average).





#### interaction explicit factor-separation Analysis).

Table 3. Relative contributions to warming effect

| Scenarios               | Compact-city | Dispersed-city |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Total increase (K)      | 2.98         | 2.89           |
| Due to Climate (K)      | 2.44 (82%)   | 2.44 (85%)     |
| Due to Urbanization (K) | 0.50 (17%)   | 0.40 (13%)     |
| Interactions (K)        | 0.04 (1%)    | 0.07 (2%)      |

# **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Dev Niyogi (email: niyogi@gmail.com/ climate@purdue.edu) Long Yang (email: longyang@princeton.edu) Link: http://landsurface.org/