What motivates urban poor in Bangladesh to adapt with urban ecosystem services and disservices?

Mustafa Saroar¹, Nuzhat Fatema²

 ¹ Urban and Rural Planning Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna 9208, Bangladesh, e-mail: saroar.mustafa@yahoo.com
2 Development Studies Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna 9208, Bangladesh, e-mail: fatema.nuzhat@yahoo.com

Mustafa Saroar

... 30 June 2015

1. Introduction

This paper has twofold objective. First to examine how the urban poor are affected by various ecosystem services and disservices and second to identify the factors that determine the urban poor's adoption of ecosystem services. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA) report identified four broad categories of ecosystem services. These are provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural services (MEA 2005). When these services negatively affect the wellbeing of urban poor they could be termed as disservices. Among these four broad types of ecosystem services are often categorised as green ecosystem services and blue ecosystem services. Green ecosystem services include green-parks, playgrounds, urban forests, street scape etc. and the blue ecosystem services affect the wellbeing of urban poor as the urban poor's livelihood, security, and comfort are largely determined by the functioning of these ecosystem services and disservices.

After the publication of MEA report the need for addressing the urban ecosystem services has been appeared as an important component of liveable city planning and design. Over the decades although enormous growth of literature on ecosystem services are observed yet overwhelming majority of these are written in the rural or regional context. Most of them have exclusive focus on forests or wetland services (Nicholls et al 1999; Faruque and Ali 2005). Only scanty of them are written in the context of urban ecosystem services. Again those examples are drawn from highly urbanized developed countries. Bangladesh being a poor and low income country, the wellbeing of both urban and rural poor largely depend on the functioning of the ecosystem services and disservices (Adger et al 2003; Saroar and Routray 2010). The coastal metropolis Khulna is located in a unique setting that offer varieties of ecosystem services for its urbanites. Despite increased use of ecosystem services by the urban poor their roles are often unaccounted for. The urban poor make use of many of the ecosystem services for their wellbeing; conversely they try to cope with the disservices to ensure their wellbeing. Although there is general assertion that poor people are benefitted from urban ecosystem services but they are adversely affected by the ecosystem disservices (ADB 2010). This study in addition to identify the ecosystem services and disservices that affect the urban poor in a coastal metropolis in Bangladesh, is aimed to identify various factors that determine the urban poor's adoption of ecosystem services and disservices. The findings will have good policy implication as this would help in urban planners, policy makers and the practitioners to determine strategies first to enhance urban poor's access to ecosystem services and the second to protect the urban poor's wellbeing from the adverse effects of ecosystem disservices.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study area, sample and the survey procedures

Coastal metropolis Khulna is located at the south-west edge of Bangladesh. Although Khulna is the third largest Metropolis in Bangladesh after Dhaka, the capital city of Bangladesh, Khulna has been appeared as an expanding metropolis due to increased investment in shrimp farms, processing industries and tourism. In fact over the last two decades or so to support the export oriented shrimp industry more than five hundred processing plants and packaging farms have been developed (Orda 2008). These normally attract poor people from the neighbouring cities and settlements. Especially the coastal people affected by various natural calamities such as cyclones, surges, river erosion, salinity intrusion, coastal flooding often find their ways to coastal metropolis Khulna. Therefore in Khulna city about 520 slums have been developed within an area of 50 sq km where about one-fifth million people live (Islam et al 2008). Among these slums 10 to 15 slums are very big in size; each accommodates about two thousand families. Rupsha slum located along the river Rupsha is one of the oldest and largest slum in Khulna city. This slum attract the most because of its proximity to shrimp processing and packaging industries located along the river Rupsha (Manoj et al. 2012, 2013). Another cause of attraction is its



ease of transport and communication. As the study site the Rupsha slum was selected. All the families are included in the sample frame. As the survey instrument mostly a semi-structured questionnaire was used to collect data and information. A total of 215 respondents were selected randomly for taking interview. The survey was conducted during August to September in 2014. Interviews were done through administering Bengali version of semi-structured questionnaires. Three focused group discussions were conducted; these are male group, female group and mixed group. Each group were comprised of 10-12 members.

2.2 Theoretical underpinning of indicators of ecosystem services and disservices

From review of global literature on ecosystem services and disservices, the list of ecosystem services and disservices that fit with the coastal metropolis of Bangladesh are developed considering the coastal urban morphology, hydrology, and other socio-ecological system. The following table presents the list of ecosystem services and disservices that affect the urban poor in Khulna. Although ecosystem services affect the wellbeing in various ways, yet attempt was taken to make a comprehensive list of ecosystem services and disservices that affect urban poor's wellbeing by impacting livelihood, security, and comfort. Respondents were asked to rate each of the services/disservice in a 5-point Likert scale (Very rarely benefited/affected = 1 to Very often benefited/affected = 5).

2.3 Major dimensions of ecosystem services/disservices: A Factor Analysis

For reduction of a large number of variables related to the urban ecosystem services and disservices into a meaningful and manageable category all 25 statements/questions were entered in a factor analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) method is employed to bring these 25 statements/questions under few factors that construct various major dimensions of utilities that we derive from urban ecosystem service. Following the Kaiser criterion, i.e. only factors/components having Eigenvalue >1, initially four factors were extracted using varimax rotation. However Scree Plot shows that extraction of three factors is more logical. Therefore ultimately three factors are extracted. The first factor could be named as Security, the second factors could be termed as comfort and the third factor could be termed as livelihood. Details of the ecosystem services/utilities that are grouped under each of these three major categories are shown in the extended full paper.

It is to be noted here that as the value of determinant of correlation matrix was found greater than 0, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin value for sampling adequacy was 0.82, the Bartlett's test of sphericity was significant at p<0.0001 and the average communality was >0.500 the factor analysis is considered statistically valid (Field 2005; George and Mallery 2006, Hair et al. 2006).

2.4 Determination of Looser and Gainer from Ecosystem services and disservices

First, by employing simple arithmetic mean, the mean score of each surveyed household for each of the three dimensions of ecosystem utilities, e.g. Security, Comfort, and Livelihood are computed. Second, based on the sample mean of each ecosystem utility, each surveyed households are classified as either gainer (if scores more than sample mean) or looser (if scores less than or equal to sample mean). In this way the gainer and looser of urban ecosystem services and disservices are identified.

2.5 Characterizing the gainer and the looser from ecosystem services: Application of Logit model

It was hypothesized that various urban morphological, hydrological, ecological, socio-economic, demographic, behavioral, and institutional factors are the determinants whether a family would be gainer or looser from urban ecosystem services or disservices. From literature review about two dozens of such factors are identified and data and information about these variables were collected from the household surveyed. These variables are used as independent variable. As dependent variable each of the three dimensions of urban ecosystem utilities e.g. Security, Confort, Livelihood are used in Logit model. Here the Logit model is built because the dependent variables are nominal type. For instance, Gainer vs. Looser from urban ecosystem services and disservices. Three Logit models, one for each of "Security", "Comfort" and "Livelihood" are developed. In the result section the results of the three Logit models are summarized.

Before running the Logit model bivariate correlation is performed and due to strong colinearity (r > 0.80) among few independent variables three of them are eventually excluded from the Logit model. Similarly, cross-tabulation for each categorical/binary independent variable with each of the three dependent variables is performed. The independent variables which have zero count/frequency or count/frequency less than 5 in any cell of cross-table (matrix) were excluded from the model to ensure robustness of the model output. Finally, after running the model, factors that significantly explain the variations in respondent's status (e.g. gainer or looser) as regards derived utilities from urban ecosystem services are identified and their influences are assessed quantitatively. These outputs are analysed to identify policy suggestion for increased adoption of urban ecosystem services by the urban poor in a coastal setting.

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Gainer and Looser from Urban Ecosystem Services and Disservices

In the study area greater variability is observed among the respondent as regards their access to ecosystem services and disservices. They make use of various green and blue ecosystem services. Among the green ecosystem services most respondents get benefit from urban green parks, play rounds, street scape and urban forests. The benefit that they derive from these green ecosystem services includes fresh air, shedding, natural cooling, biomass fuel, earning wage etc. Among the blue ecosystem services, most commonly used are river, canal, water bodies, (artificial) water front etc. Although many of these blue ecosystem services are beneficial to most of the respondents, yet many respondents have acknowledged that they are affected by many of the ecosystem disservices. Ecosystem disservices that affect the respondent are storm water over flow, rainfall induced water logging and flooding. The most common negative utilities that they get from ecosystem disservices includes decomposed wastes and bad smell, ugly view, water-born diseases, air born insect, prevalence of malaria mosquito, income loss etc. The PCA shows that the broad utilities that most respondent's family derive from urban ecosystem are related to security, comfort and livelihood.

3.2 Determination of factors affecting the gain from ecosystem services and disservices

To determine the factors that affect the gain (both positive and negative) from urban ecosystem services/disservices in the dimension of "Security", "Comfort" and "Livelihood" three separate Logit are developed. The first Logit model predicts the influence of factors on slum household's probability to be gainer or looser from urban ecosystem services/disservices in the dimension of security. The second and third Logit models predict the influence of factors on slum household's probability to be gainer or looser from urban ecosystem services/disservices in the dimension of security to be gainer or looser from urban ecosystem services/disservices in the dimension of comfort and livelihood respectively.

Models output are presented in some details in the full paper. Gain from ecosystem service related to livelihood are mostly affected by socio-economic and demographic variables while gain from comfort/recreation related services are determined by resource governance related and spatial factors. The Binomial Logistic Regression analysis unveils that gain from comfort/recreation related ecosystem services are significantly determined by gender, family income, distance from house, access fees etc. as well. On the other hand gain from livelihood related ecosystem services are significantly determined by level of education, fear for eviction, tenure of housing, length of stay in the city, perception about intrinsic benefit. On the contrary loss from ecosystem disservices that relate to security are determined by risk perception, season of a year, tenure of housing, fear of eviction, and past adaptation behaviour. However, other factors such as occupation, age, access to information do not have significant influence in this respect

4. Concluding remarks

Finally it can be concluded that most dominant factors that determine whether a family would benefit from or affected by urban ecosystem services in the dimension of security are tenure of housing, fear of eviction, and past adaptation behaviour. Similarly, the most dominant factors that determine whether a family would benefit from or affected by urban ecosystem services in the dimension of comfort/recreation are gender, family income, distance from house, access fees etc. The policy implication of the findings is, this would help designing separate sets of intervention for enhancing urban poor's access to both green and blue urban ecosystem services for better livelihood, security and comfort particularly in the changing context of climate. Therefore, this finding would give synergies to ongoing efforts of building resilient city in an urbanizing world

Acknowledgment

The author gratefully acknowledge the funds provided by the ICUC9 organizing committee for presenting the paper in the conference by the first author.

References

Adger W.N., Huq S., Brown K., Conway D., Hulme M., 2003 Adaptation to climate change in the developing world. Progress in Development Studies 3(3): 179–195

Asian Development Bank, 2010 "Bangladesh: strengthening the resilience of the water sector in Khulna to climate change", ADB Technical Assistance Consultant's Report (Project No 42469-01), ADB, Dhaka, 40 pages.

Faruque H.S.M., Ali M.L., 2005 Climate Change and Water Resources Management in Bangladesh. In: Mirza MMQ, Ahmad QK (eds.) Climate Change and Water Resources in South Asia, Balkema Press (Taylor and Francis group), Leiden, p. 231-254.

Hair J.F. Jr, Black W.C., Babin B.J., Anderson R.E., Tatham R.L., 2006 Multivariate Data Analysis, 6th edn. Prentice Hall International, New Jersey

Islam N., Mahbub A.Q.M., Nazem N.I, Angeles G., Lance P.M., 2006 *Slums of Urban Bangladesh: Mapping and Census 2005*, Centre for Urban Studies (CUS), Dhaka, 54 pages.

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005 Ecosystem and Human Well-being: Synthesis. Island Press: Washington, DC.

Nicholls R.J., Hoozemans F.M.J., Marchand M., 1999 Increasing food risk and wetland losses due to global sea-level rise: regional and global analyses. Global Environmental Change 9: S69-S87.

Orda E., 2008 "Bangladesh: supporting the establishment of the Khulna Water Supply and Sewage Authority", ADB Technical Assistance Consultant's Report (Project No 42171), ADB, Dhaka, 81 pages.

Roy M., Jahan F., Hulme D., 2012 "Community and institutional responses to the challenges facing poor urban people in Khulna, Bangladesh in an era of global warming", BWPI Working Paper 163, Brooks World Poverty Institute, Manchester, 64 pages.

Roy M., Hulme D., Jahan F., 2013 Contrasting adaptation responses by squatters and low-income tenants in Khulna, Bangladesh. Environment & Urbanization, Vol 25(1): 157–176. DOI: 10.1177/0956247813477362

Saroar M.M., Routray J.K., 2010 In situ adaptation against sea level rise (SLR) in Bangladesh: does awareness matter? International Journal of Climate Change Strategy and Management 2(3): 321-345