First steps toward a comparison of modelled thermal comfort during a heatwave in Melbourne, Australia

Stephanie Jacobs

PhD supervisors: Ailie Gallant and Nigel Tapper





Outline of talk

Motivation for research

- Heatwaves
- Urban heat island mitigation

Model validation

- Soundings
- Gridded observational data
- Weather station data

No urban areas experiment





Motivation

Heatwaves \rightarrow heat stress \rightarrow high *overnight* temperatures have greatest effect on health

50 % of worlds population lives in cities -> Urban Heat Island effect \rightarrow cities *hotter at night* than rural areas

UHI mitigation - Green roofs, white roofs, increased irrigation \rightarrow cooler cities \rightarrow cooler citizens





Research aims

Model best configuration of UHI mitigation infrastructure in Melbourne during a heatwave to improve human thermal comfort

- Will use WRF to model the heatwave
- First step: model validation





First case study

Jan 28-30 2009 heatwave over Melbourne

374 excess deaths, 714 hospital admissions for heat stress

3 days above 43°C, record at the time

1 night above 30°C





CRC for

Water Sensitive Cities



Region of study

Melbourne, population of 4 million Second largest city in Australia







WRF physics schemes

Noah land surface scheme

Yonsei University boundary layer scheme

WRFV3.6.0

Dudhia shortwave radiation scheme

Rapid Radiative Transfer Model longwave radiation scheme

> WRF Single Moment 5class microphysics scheme

> > CRC for Water Sensitive Cities

Kain-Fritsch cumulus physics scheme

Monin-Obukhov surface similarity scheme

One of the best combinations of physics schemes for southeastern Australia on seasonal (Evans et al. 2011) and sub-daily timescales (Evans and Westra 2012)



Data and domains

Put ERA Interim (Dee et al. 2011) $0.7^{\circ}x0.7^{\circ}$ data into WRF \rightarrow dynamically downscale it to Melbourne area using nested domains

Resolutions: ERA Interim 77km → domain 1 (10km) → domain 2 (2km)



Simulations run for three days with the first day discarded as model spin up.



Single layer urban canopy model (Kusaka et al. 2001)

- Add in low, medium and high density urban categories to MODIS land surface



Modelling the 3 day heatwave

- Showing from 11pm Jan 27 2009 - 10am Jan 31 2009 AEDT





CRC for Water Sensitive Cities

Compare WRF soundings to observations

- Observations are in black, WRF is in pink. Daytime temperature profile very good

- WRF is too moist at the surface and in the boundary layer during the day



Compare WRF to gridded observations

 WRF (2kmx2km) compared to Australian temperature gridded observational data set (5kmx5km)

– WRF minimum temperature is too high, WRF maximum temperature is too low



3 hourly 2m temperature 11am Jan 27 - 9am Jan 31 2009



WRF does not capture
diurnal variability during
heatwave, potentially due
to soil being too moist

This results in a larger
RMSE

A longer spin up time
does not decrease the soil
moisture

CRC for Water Sensitive Cities

Experiment: no urban surfaces

- Urban land surface category removed with nearest neighbour method
- Mostly croplands (olive green) and evergreen broadleaf forest (dark green)
- See how much urban effects or geography impact heatwave in Melbourne



No urban surface experiment

- Minimum temperatures would be 1-3°C colder during the hottest night of the

heatwave



Difference in 2m temperature at 3am Jan 29 2009



CRC for Water Sensitive Cities

Conclusions

 WRF can accurately simulate from the boundary layer to the top of the atmosphere during a heatwave

- WRF maximum temperatures are *too low* compared to observations
- WRF minimum temperatures are *too high* compared to observations
- WRF cannot replicate the diurnal temperature variability, though this will be improved with better soil moisture data
- When the urban areas are removed can see that minimum temperatures in Melbourne would be 1-3°C colder



Future work

 We will model the effectiveness of green infrastructure (green roofs, white roofs) in Melbourne during the heatwave

 We will find the best configuration of infrastructure to improve human thermal comfort on a city wide scale

 We plan to dynamically downscale CMIP5 GCM model data and repeat these experiments using future scenarios to test the resilience of the infrastructure to weather systems from the FUTURE





Acknowledgments

Thank you very much to Carlo Jamandre, Melissa Hart, Daniel Argueso from the University of New South Wales for their help in setting up the WRF runs

Thank you to Blair Trewin for providing observational weather station data

References:

Chen et al. (2011) The integrated WRF/urban modelling system: development, evaluation, and applications to urban environmental problems. International Journal of Climatology 31:273–288

Evans et al. (2012) Evaluating the performance of a WRF physics ensemble over South-East Australia Clim Dyn 39:1241–1258 Evans and Westra (2012) Investigating the Mechanisms of Diurnal Rainfall Variability Using a Regional Climate Model, Journal of Climate 7232-7247

Jackson et al. (2010) Parameterizations of urban characteristics for global climate modelling. A. Assoc. Am. Geog. 100:848-865 Kusaka H, Kondo H, Kikegawa Y, Kimura F. 2001. A simple single- layer urban canopy model for atmospheric models: comparison with multi-layer and slab models. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* **101**: 329 – 358.



3 month spin up, CORDEX/NARCLIM domains









CLIMATE SYSTEM SCIENCE FOR SCIENCE OF STERNES MONASH University

CRC for Water Sensitive Cities