









Comparing convective memory in different schemes with imposed fixed RCE state

Maxime Colin (m.colin@unsw.edu.au), Steven Sherwood, Yi-Ling Hwong











The "diagnostic" assumption

• We usually assume that $C(x,t)=f[\xi(x,t)]$

with C = convective activity at position x, at time t ξ = environmental large-scale conditions, at (x,t)

- It's a natural hypothesis for
 - Traditional parameterisations
 - Observations analysis: to generalise relationships
- Valid as long as scales are large enough
- But less valid with increasing resolution

 \rightarrow How to do beyond this problem ?



Convective memory

- There is memory when, for given large-scale conditions (macrostate), convection depends on its own history (microstate).
- Many processes can contribute to memory (cold pools, energy cycle, varying entrainment, etc...)
- Memory related to small-scale processes comes from MSE structures (thermodynamics, humidity) at low levels (*Colin et al 2019*).
- It increases with spatial organisation
- Daleu et al 2020: convective memory is only important for scales smaller than 25 km for disorganised convection over land
- Already added in many models. But no consensus ?





Questions

 We want to isolate and study small-scale (unresolved) memory, without being affected by large-scale influence.

 $C(x,t)=f[\xi(x,t)]$

- To what extent convection is determined by anything else than large-scale state variables (thermodynamic variables, winds) ?
 - \rightarrow use of a fixed large-scale state setup



Idealised CRM simulations: method

- WRF model in RCE over ocean
- Domain: 200 km * 200 km
- Resolution = 1km : deep convection is resolved
- Interactive radiation, boundary layer scheme active
- SST = 302 K
- No rotation
- Doubly periodic boundary conditions
- We add a relaxation term (strong nudging) on mean (T,q,u,v) values, towards target profiles computed from the RCE state : we can control the large-scale state.

 \rightarrow a bit like WTHG (instead of WTG)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(\overline{u} - \overline{u}_{t \operatorname{arg} et} \right)$$



• We repeat the experiments on ~ 10 members

Idealised CRM simulations: results

- The situation is unstable
- 2 cases: exponential growth, or exponential decay





Colin and Sherwood 2021 (in revision)

Predator-prey model of convection

Model with 3 variables, including 2 prognostic ones (with memory).

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = E - P$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\alpha_{damp} V + \alpha_{Vp} P + \varepsilon_{V}$$

$$P = \alpha_{p} R V \varepsilon_{P}$$

- Predator: V (microstate)
- Prey: R (macrostate)
- → Roel Neggers's decentralised approach?



Predator-prey model of convection

Model with 3 variables, including 2 prognostic ones (with memory).

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = E - P$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\alpha_{damp}V + \alpha_{Vp}P + \varepsilon_{V}$$

$$P = \alpha_{p}RV\varepsilon_{P}$$

Control simulations (RCE)



Stable







Unstable



Predator-prey model of convection: large-scale waves



- Forcing by a periodic wave
- Quasi-equilibrium for long and medium scales.
 P is in phase with the forcing (since R is leading, V is lagging)

- For smaller scales, P lags the forcing
- For a "diurnal cycle" forcing, R peaks around noon, P peaks in the afternoon
- NOTE: The lag relationships between R, V, P can also be detected in ARM observations.

Idealised SCM simulations: method

- Question: Are the previous behaviours also found in GCMs ?
- LMDZ, WRF, CAM models in SCM : RCE over ocean ("convective playground")
- We can test different parameterisations (e.g., WRF), and different versions of a scheme (e.g., LMDZ)
- We impose a fixed large-scale state (instead of nudging). Two methods are possible:
 - Fixing all large-scale variables input to the scheme, leaving free only the variables which represent small-scale structures ($\delta T_{wak}, \delta q_{wak}, \sigma_{wak}, D_w$ in LMDZ)
 - Fixing only (T,q,u,v), as in the CRM, towards target profiles computed from the RCE state
- General strategy:
 - 1. Let each model and configuration find its RCE state
 - 2. Computing the target profiles to be imposed from that RCE state
 - 3. Simulation under fixed large-scale conditions
- Be mindful if convection is not triggered with the target profile

Idealised SCM simulations: method

Courtesy of Yi-Ling Hwong

Input scheme variables (large-scale state, macrostate)

	KFETA 👻	NTIEDTKE	NSAS	BMJ	CAMZM	GF
т	x	x	x	x	x	x
тн	x			x	x	
QV	x	x	x	x	x	x
QC		x	x			
QI		x	x			
Р	x	x	x	x	x	x
U	x	x	x		x	x
v	x	x	x		x	x
w	x	x	x			x
RHO	x	x	x	x		x
DZ8W	x	x	x	x	x	x
PI	x	x	x	x	x	x
HFX		x	x		x	x
QFX		x	x		x	x
PBLH			x		x	
KPBL				x	x	x
нт					x	x

But also:

- NTIEDTKE: rthften, rqvften (total advective potential temperature and moisture tendencies)
- CAMZM: TKE_PBL, z, CLDFRA, MAVAIL (soil moisture availability), Psfc, TSK, U*



Conclusion

1. Method using RCE but with imposed fixed large-scale state (fixed to the RCE values).

2. CRM: exponential growth or decay of convection. The RCE state is unstable here, so the large-scale state is not sufficient to predict convective evolution (memory).

3. Predator-prey model: a positive feedback via small-scale heterogeneities, a negative feedback via the large-scale mean state.

4. This simple model with memory captures reasonably well the effects of large-scale waves on convection.

5. This configuration in SCM is still being tested. But it could become an additional SCM test to guide parameterisation development: are they sensitive to anything else than large-scale mean profiles (in particular to convective history)?

References

- Bechtold, P., N. Semane, P. Lopez, J.-P. Chaboureau, A. Beljaars, and N. Bormann, 2014: Representing equilibrium and nonequilibrium convection in large-scale models. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 71 (2), 734–753, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-13-0163.1.
- Colin, M., S. Sherwood, O. Geoffroy, S. Bony, and D. Fuchs, 2019: Identifying the sources of convective memory in cloud-resolving simulations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 76 (3), 947–962, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-18-0036.1.
- Colin, M. and S. Sherwood, 2021: Atmospheric convection as an unstable predator-prey process with memory. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences (under revision)
- Daleu, C. L., R. S. Plant, S. J. Woolnough, A. J. Stirling, and N. J. Harvey, 2020: Memory properties in cloud-resolving simulations of the diurnal cycle of deep convection. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12 (8), doi:10.1029/2019MS001897
- Hourdin, F., Rio, C., Grandpeix, J.-Y., Madeleine, J.-B., Cheruy, F., Rochetin, N., et al. (2020). LMDZ6A: The atmospheric component of the IPSL climate model with improved and better tuned physics. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, e2019MS001892. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001892
- Rio, C., F. Hourdin, J.-Y. Grandpeix, and J.-P. Lafore, 2009: Shifting the diurnal cycle of parameterized deep convection over land. Geophysical Research Letters, 36 (7), doi:10.1029/2008GL036779, I07809.
- Stephens, G. L., and Coauthors, 2010: Dreary state of precipitation in global models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115 (D24), doi:10.1029/2010JD014532, d24211.









Extra slides

Some issues in GCMs

- It rains too often, too little (Stephens et al 2010, Hourdin et al 2020)
- Diurnal cycle (*Rio et al 2009, Bechtold et al 2014*).
- A challenge: capturing a realistic spatial organisation of convection.
- Weak entrainment leads to a better mean state, but high entrainment leads to better variability.

Despite important improvements...

 \rightarrow Invitation to rethink some aspects of convection





Idealised CRM simulations: results

- The situation is unstable
- 2 cases: exponential growth, or exponential decay
- Nudging is very effective for 6-8h, but fails afterwards
- Impact of nudging terms
- Instability which grows from low-level thermodynamic variances



Colin and Sherwood 2021 (in revision)

Predator-prey model of convection

Model with 3 variables, including 2 prognostic ones (with memory).

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = E - P$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\alpha_{damp} V + \alpha_{Vp} P + \varepsilon_{V}$$

$$P = \alpha_{p} R V \varepsilon_{P}$$



Under fixed large-scale conditions:

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = 0 \text{ so two equations remain}$$
$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \alpha_{V_p} \alpha_P (R_0 - R_{RCE}) V \rightarrow \text{exponential solution}$$

- The predator-prey model captures several CRM behaviours:
 - Oscillations after homogenising
 - Fixed large-scale state

Predator-prey model of convection with memory



Colin and Sherwood 2021 (in revision)

Predator-prey model of convection with memory



Colin and Sherwood 2021 (in revision)

Predator-prey model of convection: observations



 The lag relationships between R, V, P can also be detected in ARM observations.

25

Colin and Sherwood 2021 (in revision)

Convective Theta tendencies

RCE (CTRL)

Fixed large-scale state



Courtesy of Yi-Ling Hwong



Courtesy of Yi-Ling Hwong



Courtesy of Yi-Ling Hwong