Implementation of WMO Information System in Japan Meteorological Agency

Eiji (aka Eizi) TOYODA

GTS/WIS Team, JMA

2nd workshop on the use of GIS/OGC standards in meteorology Toulouse, France, November 2009





Topics of the talk

- WIS and activities in JMA
- What we expect for interoperability activity
 - OGC Met Ocean DWG and WMO/CBS IPET-MDI in mind





WMO Information System (WIS)

Sustain & improve GTS [part A]

- Legacy store-forward protocols, routing tables
- Domain-specific data formats
- Reliability precedes over flexibility and volume
- Add new features [part B]
 - Flexible and/or cost-effective communication
 - Data discovery, access, & retrieval (DAR)
 - Serve more diverse communities
 - Enhanced interoperability





GTS/WIS centers and standards



Japan Meteorological Agency

Three key factors of interoperability activity:







JMA activities for WIS

DAR Catalogue

- Further developing WMO profile of ISO 19115
- SRU considered primary search protocol
- Communication protocols
 - OAI-PMH for metadata
 - Atom syndication for data
- Data formats
 - HTML5 Microdata
 - Data format interoperability





Metadata and Catalogue

- JMA has long experience
 - As RTH of GTS: WMO No. 9 Volume C1
 - Non-GTS data:

General information catalogue (since 1997) now online and searchable: visit

http://www.jma.go.jp/jma/kishou/177jmh/catalogue.html

if you can read Japanese language :-)

Now working to establish WIS DAR standard and implementation





Further Development of WMO Metadata Profile

WMO Core Profile to ISO 19115 Metadata

- Ver. 1.1 endorsed by CBS-XIV (March 2009)
- Almost identical to ISO 19115 Core Profile
 - Some code tables added
 - No extra structure
 - No element additionally mandated





What is profile intended by ISO?







Metadata profiles in Japan

Generic GIS

- Japan Metadata Profile v2.0 by Geographical Survey Institute http://zgate.gsi.go.jp/ch/jmp20/cle_met_right.html (in Japanese)
- Profile to ISO 19115 Core Profile
- Conceptually parallel to INSPIRE
- Oceanography
 - Marine Metadata Profile by Japan Coast Guard http://www1.kaiho.mlit.go.jp/GIJUTSUKOKUSAI/KENKYU/report/tbh27/tbh27-01.pdf (in Japanese)



Profile to JMP 2.0



Situation of metadata structre



Proposal for DAR Metadata

- Discussion in IPET-MDI etc.
 - by JMA, CMA, and DWD
- Goal: practical guidance on Volume C1 to 19115 conversion
 - could be VolC1-type Profile
 VolC1 Profile ⊃ WMO Core ≒ ISO Core
 - or just a guideline is okay
 - more experience with new users/data will tell us better standard structure





Observation Stationer isholge jor cere per paper i gitle= a light jargon file



P

/buoy_an

/nwp_japan_gsm12a

Tag: (exclude:



- code format: FM12-XI
- time(UTC): 01,02,04,05,07,08,10,11,12,13,14,16,17,19,20,22,23
- station number: 07260 07265 07374 07379 07385 07469 07473 07475 07480 07482 07486 07487 07491



Metadata Search Protocols

ISO 23950 (aka ANSI Z39.50)

- old, binary, and non-HTTP
- anybody here wants "raw" Z39.50?
- SRU (Search by URL)
 - HTTP-based simple protocol, intended to be gateway to Z39.50
 - minimal requirement for WIS centres

OGC CSW

concept similar to SRU

Further work/experience/guideline desired



Situation of metadata search



Protocol to synchronize metadata

GTS Practice

- METNO bulletin tells change of Volume C1
- (of course) not for ISO 19115

OAI-PMH

- standard of Open Archive Initiative
- used in SIMDAT project
- Tokyo-Beijing synchronization test working

Any other activity?





17

Situation of metadata distribution



Data transfer protocols

- Discussion was active since the onset of "Future WIS" concept
- Number of protocols have been proposed
 - Push
 - GTS store-and-forward
 - GTS-FTP, LDM, ...
 - Middle: subscription
 - Pull
- OPeNDAP
- Pandora (REST used in JMA)
- OGC WCS/WMS series





Situation for data transfer protocol



if existing one doesn't work for you...





Push vs pull controversy

- Management pull
 - in case of retry/backup/ad hoc setup
 recipient knows better what is needed

Popularity – pull

- everybody use the web
- off-the-shelf httpd-CGI implementations
- abundant knowledge on security
- Delay push
 - polling is needed for pull protocols
 - average delay = ½ (poll interval)×(# hops)

21





JMA's blog-based proposal

HTTP-GET for data distribution

- Atom syndication (aka RSS) for update notification
 - text data can be bundled
 - widespread use of GeoRSS as substitute of metadata catalog
- Atom publishing for time-critical message
 - REST: simpler than SOAP







Microdata display of SYNOP

HTML code (extract)

<section item="vevent int.wmo.synop">

coordinates:

+42.55;+9.48air temperature (degree Celsius):

11.0pressure (hPa):

```
<span itemprop="int.wmo.prop.pressure">1017</span>
```

</section>

Rendering

- coordinates: +42.55; +9.48
- air temperature (degree Celsius): 11.0
- pressure (hPa): 1017



Data formats (1) aviation OPMET

Tradition

- METAR, TAF, SIGMET, …
- AFTN limitations character set & message size
- Users: aviation community
 - seeking more quality and additional info
 - future of AFTN environment?
- Standard
 - XML
 - work in progress at CBS IPET-MDI





Data formats (2) grid data

- Tradition: GRIB
- Many users:
 - academia: CF-NetCDF
 - space science: HDF
 - GIS: GeoTIFF, ArcInfo, ERDAS, …
- Possible way forward
 - forced unification won't work
 - conversion
 - spec: comparison of data forms
 - terminology: common/ISO data models





Situation for data interoperability



Future: web services & conversion

Standardised conversion will help:

WMS/WCS

- parameter FORMAT=
- mapserver uses GDAL
- Pandora (used in JMA)
 - request header Accept: or filename suffix

OpeNDAP

- server: format-by-format implementations
- client does not care about source data structure





Summary

- JMA in WIS: RTH on IMTN and prospective GISC
- Three keys of interoperability
 - traditional practice
 - new user community
 - standard
- Interoperability is desired for
 - metadata format & search protocol
 - data transfer protocol
 - data format

We have proposals & are open to discussion

