Two EC sites on one urban tower: what can we learn?

CR Wood^{*1}, RD Kouznetsov^{1,2}, M Kurppa³, L Järvi³, P Rantala³, T Vesala³, A Karppinen¹ (1) Finnish Meteorological Institute, Finland (2) A.M. Obukhov Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Russia (3) Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Finland

Questions

- ✓ How do we detect the flow-disturbed directions?
- ✓ How badly are the fluxes affected?







Site setup (Nordbo et al. 2012). The sonics are at 60m above ground on a Hotel tower in central Helsinki. Average neighbourhood values are $z_{H} = 24.1 \text{m}, z_{d} = 14.9 \text{m}, z_{0} = 1.4 \text{m}; \text{ and land-cover is 55\% built, 42\% paved, 3\%$ vegetation (Nordbo et al. 2015). Figures below use data from Jun'13-Jan'14





Helsinki UrBAN (Urban Boundary-layer Atmosphere Network, Wood et al. 2013a,b, http://urban.fmi.fi) is a research-grade observation network for:



- the study of Helsinki's urban atmospheric boundary layer (e.g. including ABL depth and
- validation and development of air quality and weather

Average fluxes and turbulence statistics as a function of wind direction. Shading around average is $\pm 1\sigma$. (Data have undergone standard procedures such as double rotation; see Nordbo et al. 2012 for details.)



Discussion

✓ Fluxes can be obtained from urban towers (see also Wood et al. 2011), and especially interesting would be advanced corrections such as from wind-tunnel modelling (Barlow et al. 2011) or LES (Griessbaum and Schmidt 2009)

✓ Wind and momentum flux are clearly badly affected for flow-disturbed directions

 \checkmark Those directions' power spectra clearly have extra energy, particularly at around 1 second

 \checkmark The power and co-spectra are not very badly affected; so under cases of flow disturbance, a correction/estimation of fluxes and turbulent statistics seems possible \checkmark Future work: evaluate the effect of gap filling, e.g. CO₂ fluxes; useful for cases with only one EC site on a mast

Spectra on 2011-09-04. Scatterplots comparing the two EC sub-sites. Note the extra energy These data are purposely not yet corrected (e.g. at about 1s for spectral corrections)

30-min statistics between the two EC sub-sites for disturbed flow 1,2

(and clear flow in brackets). N = 598,1777 (629)

	Q _H	Q _E	F _{CO2}	u*	U
rmse	36,39 (30)	45,31 (52)	25,10 (24)	0.7,0.2 (0.1)	2.8,1.9 (0.3)
mbe, dist – clear (or 1–2)	9,17 (20)	-20,9 (-8)	-14,1 (-5)	0.6,-0.1 (-0.02)	-2.5,1.7 (-0.2)
r	0.87, 0.89 (0.99)	0.37,0.63 (0.68)	-0.01,0.34 (0.39)	0.84,0.73 (0.86)	0.69,0.84 (0.99)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Kari Riikonen, Erkki Siivola, Petri Keronen and Sami Haapanala provided technical support

REFERENCES

- Barlow et al. (2011) JWindEngAero
- Griessbaum & Schmidt (2009) QJRMS
- Nordbo et al. (2012) BLMet
- Nordbo et al. (2015) Urban Climate
- Wood et al. (2011) BLMet
- Wood et al. (2013a) Bull Am Met Soc
- Wood et al. (2013b) J Atm Ocean Tech





*curtis.wood@fmi.fi