

Characteristics of Heat Wave Impacts for Major Cities in the US under Current and Future Climate Conditions

Adel Hanna¹, Jason Ching¹, Joseph Pinto² 1 UNC Institute for the Environment, Chapel Hill, NC, USA ahanna@unc.edu. 2 National Center for Environmental Assessment, US Environmental Protection Agency, RTP, NC, USA



Outline

- Motivation and Objectives
- Heat Waves
 - $\circ~$ Impacts, Metrics and Indices
- Climate Scenarios
 - Downscaling approach WRF and CCSM
- Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (WBGT)
- Local Climate Zones (LCZs)
- Utilize LCZ to drive WBGT urban texture within mesoscale model grids cells
- Demonstrate WBGT variability for Chicago and Atlanta for different climate scenarios
- Summary of Findings
- Future Directions and Conclusions

Major global concern regarding the increased frequency and severity of heat waves and their impacts.



Objectives

- Provide a modeling platform for bridging the gap between the operational mesoscale modeling and neighborhood scale modeling for characterizing heat stress in two major cities in the US
- Integrate highly computational information based on downscaling global climate models to regional models with urban texture parameters mapped based on parameters and their values from Local Climate Zones (LCZs) (Stewart and Oke, 2012)
- Compute and analyze heat stress risk levels based on the wet bulb globe temperature(WBGT) under current and future climate conditions
- Examine the effect of intra-urban differences on heat stress based on the use of LCZs
- Develop recommendations incorporating WUDAPT with operational regional scale modeling for heat stress advisories





Heat Waves

- Impacts
 - o Health, economics, loss of labor hours
- Introduction of the WBGT as an index for assessing the health impact of heat waves on mortality, morbidity, and comfort.
- Impact of the occurrence and frequency of extreme values of WBGT and its variability geographically and climate changes
- Why Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (WBGT)
 - A metric that considers dry bulb temperature, wet bulb, and globe temperature
 - The globe temperature is a temperature from a black globe thermometer measuring solar and other ambient radiation to represent the temperature at which heat transfer from the human body is equal to the radiant heat transfer in the actual non-uniform enclosure.
 - o Only metric to have defined thresholds

Wet Bulb Global Temperature, WBGT

(See Kusaka et al.,(2012))

WBGT= 0.7WBT + $0.1T_{d}$ + 0.2 T_{Globe}

$T_{Globe} = T_{d} + 0.017S - 0.208 U + 0.5$

WBT	Wet Bulb Temperature
Τ _d	Dry Bulb Temperature
T _{globe}	Globe temperature
S	Incoming solar radiation
U	Wind speed

Applications and correspondence to risk assessment

• Developed to help control heat causalities during military training

 Correlates better than air temperature to the number of heat strokes patients

Climate Scenarios

Model Years (2003, 2050)

- Dynamical downscaling of the CCSM meteorological outputs to provide initial and boundary conditions at 108 km grid resolution for WRF nested at 108-36-12 km grid resolutions
- SRES A1B driven CCSM 40°N results used for IPCC AR4 on a T85 Gaussian grid.
- May, June, July, and August of the years 2003, representing current climate conditions; and the year 2050, representing future climate conditions.
- WRF Model hourly outputs at 12km x 12km over USA, can be finer (4km x 4 km) or at even higher resolutions for more refined urban simulations.

WRF model domains





CCSM (108km)

Monthly average for August

Climate-Health.CCSM.Surface.T.2003-08

THE ENVIRONMENT

Note the expansion of the Bermuda High westward and northward (e.g., 1020mb contours & broadening and strengthening of the warm sectors)

Climate-Health.CCSM.Surface.T.2050-08

Winds (m/s) Winds (m/s) Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Sea Level Pressure (hPa) Temperature (deg C) Temperature (deg C) 40N 40N 20N 20N 120W 90W 60W 120W 90W 60W 20 Sea Level Pressure Contours: 900 to 1100 by 4 20 Sea Level Pressure Contours: 900 to 1100 by 4 Surface Temperature (deg C) Surface Temperature (deg C) 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40

Local Climate Zones (LCZ) (as rendered by the WUDAPT Project)

Table lookup Parameters

- Sky View Factor
- Building heights
- Roughness
- Radiative properties
- Etc
- Each city has its distinctive spatial patterns and distributions of LCZ

Rich diversity and complex distributions of climate zones observed within each city LCZ signature palate different and unique to each city Different distribution of "Form and Function" modeling parameters produce unique climate and meteorological responses to each urban area.

LCZ Classes

Compact High-Rise Compact Mid-Rise Compact Low-Rise Open High-Rise Open Mid-Rise Open Low-Rise Lightweight Low-Rise Large Low-Rise Sparsely Built Heavy Industry Dense Trees Scattered Trees Bush, Scrub Low Plants Bare Rock or Paved Bare Soil or Sand Water



Reference: Stewart and Oke, 2010



WBGT (°C) Heat Stress Risk Levels

(Kusaka et al., 2012)

WBGT	Level	Description	
31+	Danger	Consider stopping all	
		activities	
28-31	Alert	Stop Strenuous exercises	
25-28	Advisory	Take rests frequently	
21 - 25	Cautious	Frequent hydration	
		needed	
-21	Mostly safe	Risk is relatively lower	

Number of hours corresponding to WBGT RangeAtlantaChicago

WBGT	2003	2050	WBGT	2003	2050
31+	90	175	31+	2	18
28-31	493	463	28-31	140	265
25-28	437	597	25-28	265	388
21-25	1070	1148	21-25	791	972
<21	862	569	<21	1754	1309





Cumulative Distribution of WBGT

- Warming trend in ullet2050 for both cities is clear
- The impact on ulletChicago (in terms of **WBGT** differences) seem to be larger than Atlanta



Hour

1441

2161

2881

721

WBGT-2003

WBGT-2050

15

10

5 0



WBGT Time Series

- General warming trend for year 2050
- Atlanta shows higher number than Chicago of heat stress related health impacts







Summer Heat Stress (WBGT) Index for Atlanta and Chicago 2003, 2050

Overall increase across the Distribution for both cities from 2003 to 2050



WBGT Chicago 2003 vs 2050



WBGT Atlanta 2003 vs 2050



Model sensitivity to grid size & ability to resolving details of underlying surfaces

> 12 km grids 36 km grids

The finer the grid mesh, the better to resolve the details of the underlying surfaces, the more appropriate is the model physics applicable to the dominant land features

Urban variation in WBGT sensitivity to its Sky View Factor, SVF

Sky View Factor (SVF) Sensitivity of WBGT in terms of its effect on solar radiation on T $_{Globe}$

WBGT= 0.7WBT+0.1T_d+0.2 T_{Globe}

 $T_{Globe} = T_{d} + 0.017S_{wrf} - 0.208 U + 0.5$

Replacing S_{wrf} with S_{urban}

Where $S_{urban} = S_{wrf} \times SVF$ (Kusaka et al., 2012)

SVF = 0 Sky view completely obstructedSVF = 1 Sky view completely unobstructed (WRF)

and U = output of regional model, WRF, or $U = 1 \text{ msec}^{-1}$ (for illustration purposes)



Sensitivity of WBGT to Sky View Factor (SVF)



Differences of more than 3.0 are seen particularly during June and July

1/3 the range of concern of heat stress risk levels

Significant diurnal variability in WBGT



Summary and Findings

- A Pilot Study was performed; designed to explore the operational feasibility of providing heat stress indices (WBGT) and advisories based on current and mid century climate prediction scenarios for two cities, Chicago and Atlanta
- Utilized offline outputs of WRF model based on downscaling CCSM under current (Year 2003) and future (Year 2050) climate conditions as input to calculate WBGT time series (May to Aug)
- Results show higher heat stress in 2050 simulations for both cities
- Synoptic responses between the base and future year for each city differed during the 4 month period
- Significant diurnal variation in WBGT and significant range of intra-urban (as subgrid) variability due to the potential range of LCZs (represented by SVF in this case) present in coarse operational grid systems.

Future Directions and Conclusions

- The output of regional modeling results can be used to calculate WBGT on an operational bases
- Intra-urban variations in WBGT may be introduced through the utilization of Table Lookup values of parameters of LCZs (especially SVF) superimposed as spatial weighting maps.
- Conceptually, the extension of this effort could be extended to provide advisories anywhere in the world. We envision this by the incorporation of WUDAPT Level "0" Census of Cities data. WUDAPT would provide on a worldwide bases.
 - LCZ and the relevant parameters of the intra-urban heat stress advisories for all major cities in the world
 - Provisions (through the URBPARM table in WPS/WRF) for urban options to run WRF anywhere in the world, on an operational bases.
- Further efforts will be required to test and evaluate the feasibility of these suggestions.

Acknowledgments

EPA- STAR program



Disclaimer: The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency





Thank You

WRF





WRF 3.0 August 2003, Surface Temperature



WRF 3.0 August 2048, Surface Temperature



THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL