

# Large-eddy simulations to characterize the role of turbulent and dispersive production, transport and dissipation of TKE over and within a realistic urban canopy

M.G. Giometto<sup>1</sup>, A. Christen<sup>2</sup>, C. Meneveau<sup>3</sup>, J. Fang<sup>1</sup> and M.B. Parlange<sup>2</sup>

(1) Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
(1,2) University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
(3) Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

Motivation

Mean flow and turbulence modeling in the urban surface layer is of great importance.



- to predict exposure of population to air pollutants
- to model micro-scale weather, climate and energy use
- to study dispersion of scalars (e.g. heat / humidity / pollutants)



Motivation

Obtaining and processing data is challenging in both experimental studies and numerical models.



- difficult to conduct representative measurements
- most numerical studies focussed on simplified geometries
- poor availability of data for comparison



#### Content

We numerically resolve the airflow over and within the urban geometry of the city of Basel (Switzerland).

### Goals

- study properties of turbulence in the urban canopy layer and roughness sublayer
- validate the algorithm against tower measurements
- determine the representativeness of tower measurements when used as surrogate of horizontally averaged terms





#### Numerics

# We use a large-eddy simulation (LES) approach coupled with an immersed-boundary method (IBM).



$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{i}}{\partial t} + \tilde{u}_{j} (\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} - \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}) = -\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}^{*}}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}^{sgs}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}^{*}}{\partial x_{i}} \delta_{i1} + \tilde{f}_{i} \delta(x_{i} - x_{i}^{\Gamma}) & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T], \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T], \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_{top} \times [0, T], \\ w = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_{top} \times [0, T], \\ (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma_{bottom} \times [0, T], \\ \tau_{w} = \left(\frac{\kappa[\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}]}{\ln(1 + \Delta/z_{0})}\right)^{2} & \text{in } \Gamma_{bottom} \times [0, T]. \end{cases}$$



### Discretization and setup of simulations.



- Mixed approach in space (Fourier expansions + finite differences)
- Fully explicit second order-accurate Adam-Bashforth time advancement
- Operator splitting to solve the system in a decoupled fashion

Cartesian discretization

Setup of simulations.

- Domain size (m) = 512 x 512 x 160
- $N_x \times N_y \times N_z = 512 \times 512 \times 160$

z<sub>0</sub> = Δ/15; Δ/30

o u<sub>⊤</sub> (m/s) = 1

<u>References</u>: J.D. Albertson et al. (1999), Bou-Zeid et al. (2005), Chester et al. (2007).



- SGS 1: Static Smagorinsky (C<sub>s</sub>=0.1)
- SGS 2: Lagrangian Scale Dependent Smagorinsky







### Morphometric statistics:

- havg (m) = 15.3
- h<sub>MAX</sub> (m) = 60
- $\sigma_h$  (m) = 8.9

The LES closure allows to resolve the energetic scales of the flow and models the small (subgrid) scale features.





The LES closure allows to resolve the energetic scales of the flow and models the small (subgrid) scale features.





### We validate results against experimental data, that were collected during the Basel Urban Boundary Layer Experiment (Rotach et al. 2005).



Map of the urban morphometry in the surroundings of the tower location.



Mean profiles compare well against tower measurements for the considered angles of approaching wind.





### Turbulent Kinetic Energy (k<sup>+</sup>) peaks at 1.5h<sup>+</sup>. Wake Kinetic Energy (k<sup>+D</sup>) is significant in the UCL.



- LES sampled at tower location under-estimates k
- local measurements are hardly representative of horizontally averaged quantities in the UCL and lower RSL



### k<sup>+</sup> peaks in the thin shear layers that separate from the rooftops.





### k<sup>+</sup> budget equation within the double averaging approach:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{k}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = - \left( \overline{\tilde{u}'_i \tilde{w}'} \right) \frac{\partial \langle \overline{\tilde{u}_i} \rangle}{\partial z} + \left( \left( \overline{\tilde{u}'_i \tilde{w}'}'' \frac{\partial \overline{\tilde{u}'_i}}{\partial z} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{\Lambda_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \Lambda_a \left[ \left\langle \overline{\tilde{u}'_i \tilde{u}'_i} \tilde{w}' \right\rangle / 2 \right] + \left\langle \overline{\tilde{w}'' \tilde{u}'_i \tilde{u}'_i} \right\rangle / 2 + \left\langle \overline{\tilde{\pi}' \tilde{w}'} \right\rangle \right] \right)$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{ii}} \qquad \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{ii}} \qquad \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{ii}} \qquad \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{ii}} \qquad \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{ii}} \qquad \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{ii}$$



Shear production peaks above the mean roof height and dispersive production is significant in the UCL.



- Good matching between measurements and LES profiles
- Locally computed terms are qualitatively in agreement with global quantities



### $P_{ii}$ overcomes subgrid dissipation ( $\epsilon^+$ ) in the RSL. Pressure transport is significant in the near wall regions.



• transport are relocating TKE

• Π<sub>ii</sub> is contributing in the near wall regions and at the P<sub>ii</sub> peak





### Conclusions

- LES + IBM compare well against experimental measurements, validating the approach
- Tower measurements are only in qualitative agreement with horizontally averaged quantities
- Production overcomes dissipation in the lower RSL and transport term are relocating TKE down in the UCL and further up in the boundary layer
- dispersive terms in the lower RSL and UCL are significant

### Future research directions

- Include an analysis of wake and mean kinetic energy budget equations
- Analyze turbulent and dispersive momentum flux budget equations







# Thank you!

### Marco Giometto – <u>marco.giometto@epfl.ch</u>



### Turbulent transport (T<sub>ii</sub>) relocates k away from its peak region.



- **ULES** =  $u_{\tau, LES}$  (z=31.7 m)
- **U**<sub>EXP</sub> = u<sub>T, EXP</sub> (z=31.7 m)
- LLES = LEXP = 31.7 m

- LES sampled at tower location over-estimates T<sub>ii</sub>
- dispersive transport T<sub>ii</sub><sup>D</sup> behaves like T<sub>ii</sub>



### Spatial distribution of k<sup>+</sup> budget terms.





### k budget at tower location...



- **U**LES = U<sub>T, LES</sub> (z=31.7 m)
- **U**EXP = U<sub>T, EXP</sub> (z=31.7 m)
- LLES = LEXP = 31.7 m

- LES sampled at tower location under-estimates k
- dispersive transport behaves like TT

